Strings do not respect syntax

Key problem: assembling commands as strings
"WHERE name = '$name';"
Looks like $name is a string
Try
$name = "me' OR grade > 80; --"

Attacking without the program

Often web attacks don’t get to see the program
Not even binary, it’s on the server
Surmountable obstacle:
- Guess natural names for columns
- Harvest information from error messages

Blind SQL injection

Attacking with almost no feedback
Common: only “error” or “no error”
One bit channel you can make yourself:
if (x) delay 10 seconds
Trick to remember: go one character at a time

Injection beyond SQL

XPath/XQuery: queries on XML data
LDAP: queries used for authentication
Shell commands: example from Ex. 1
More web examples to come
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Per-website authentication

Many web sites implement their own login systems
+ If users pick unique passwords, little systemic risk
  - Inconvenient, many will reuse passwords
  - Lots of functionality each site must implement correctly
  - Without enough framework support, many possible pitfalls

Building a session

HTTP was originally stateless, but many sites want stateful login sessions
Building by tying requests together with a shared session ID
Must protect confidentiality and integrity

Session ID: what

Must not be predictable
  - Not a sequential counter
Should ensure freshness
  - E.g., limited validity window
If encoding data in ID, must be unforgeable
  - E.g., data with properly used MAC
  - Negative example: crypt(username || server secret)

Session ID: where

Session IDs in URLs are prone to leaking
  - Including via user cut-and-paste
Usual choice: non-persistent cookie
  - Against network attacker, must send only under HTTPS
Because of CSRF (coming up), should also have a non-cookie unique ID

Session management (A2)

Create new session ID on each login
Invalidated session on logout
Invalidated after timeout
  - Usability / security tradeoff
  - Needed to protect users who fail to log out from public browsers
Account management
- Limitations on account creation
  - CAPTCHA? Outside email address?
- See previous discussion on hashed password storage
- Automated password recovery
  - Usually a weak spot
  - But, practically required for large system

Client and server checks
- For usability, interface should show what’s possible
- But must not rely on client to perform checks
- Attackers can read/modify anything on the client side
- Easy example: item price in hidden field

Direct object references (A4)
- Seems convenient: query parameter names resource directly
  - E.g., database key, filename (path traversal)
- Easy to forget to validate on each use
- Alternative: indirect reference like per-session table
  - Not fundamentally more secure, but harder to forget check

Function-level access control (A7)
- E.g. pages accessed by URLs or interface buttons
- Must check each time that user is authorized
  - Attack: find URL when authorized, reuse when logged off
  - Helped by consistent structure in code
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Hands-on Assignment 2
- Full version (6 questions) now posted
- Email Yang if you didn’t yet get a VM
- Server VMs update (for Q5,6) rolling out soon
- Due a week from Friday
HA 2 questions

1. Network sniffing
2. Offline dictionary attack
3. Forging predictable cookies
4. SQL injection
5. Cross-site scripting
6. Crypto. attack against a poor MAC

Exercise sets

- Exercise set 3 should be graded by Thursday
- Exercise set 4 out, due week from Thursday

Project activities

- Third in-person meetings next week Mon-Fri
- In class presentations 12/2-12/9
  - Look for schedule later this week
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XSS: HTML/JS injection (A3)

- Note: CSS is “Cascading Style Sheets”
- Another use of injection template
- Attacker supplies HTML containing JavaScript (or occasionally CSS)
- OWASP’s most prevalent weakness
  - A category unto itself
  - Easy to commit in any dynamic page construction

Why XSS is bad (and named that)

- attacker.com can send you evil JS directly
- But XSS allows access to bank.com data
- Violates same-origin policy
- Not all attacks actually involve multiple sites
Reflected XSS

- Injected data used immediately in producing a page
- Commonly supplied as query/form parameters
- Classic attack is link from bad site to victim site

Persisted XSS

- Injected data used to produce page later
- For instance, might be stored in database
- Can be used by one site user to attack another user
  - E.g., to gain administrator privilege

DOM-based XSS

- Injected occurs in client-side page construction
- Flaw at least partially in code running on client
- Many attacks involve mashups and inter-site communication

No string-free solution

- For server-side XSS, no way to avoid string concatenation
- Web page will be sent as text in the end
  - Research topic: ways to change this?
- XSS especially hard kind of injection

Danger: complex language embedding

- JS and CSS are complex languages in their own
- Can appear in various places with HTML
  - But totally different parsing rules
- Example: "..." used for HTML attributes and JS strings
  - What happens when attribute contains JS?

Danger: forgiving parsers

- History: handwritten HTML, browser competition
- Many syntax mistakes given “likely” interpretations
- Handling of incorrect syntax was not standardized
Sanitization: plain text only

- Easiest case: no tags intended, insert at document text level
- Escape HTML special characters with entities like &lt; for <
- OWASP recommendation: & < > " ' /

Sanitization: context matters

- An OWASP document lists 5 places in a web page you might insert text
  - For the rest, “don’t do that”
- Each one needs a very different kind of escaping

Sanitization: tag whitelisting

- In some applications, want to allow benign markup like <b>
- But, even benign tags can have JS attributes
- Handling well essentially requires an HTML parser
  - But with an adversarial-oriented design

Don’t blacklist

- Browser capabilities continue to evolve
- Attempts to list all bad constructs inevitably incomplete
- Even worse for XSS than other injection attacks

Filter failure: one-pass delete

- Simple idea: remove all occurrences of <script>
- What happens to <scr<scri>ipt>?

Filter failure: UTF-7

- You may have heard of UTF-8
  - Encode Unicode as 8-bit bytes
- UTF-7 is similar but uses only ASCII
- Encoding can be specified in a <meta> tag, or some browsers will guess
  - +ADw-script+AD4-
Filter failure: event handlers

- <IMG onmouseover="alert('xss')">
- Put this on something the user will be tempted to click on
- There are more than 100 handlers like this recognized by various browsers

Use good libraries

- Coding your own defenses will never work
- Take advantage of known good implementations
- Best case: already built into your framework
  - Disappointingly rare

Content Security Policy

- New HTTP header, W3C candidate recommendation
- Lets site opt-in to stricter treatment of embedded content, such as:
  - No inline JS, only loaded from separate URLs
  - Disable JS eval et al.
- Has an interesting violation-reporting mode
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HTTP header injection

- Untrusted data included in response headers
- Can include CRLF and new headers, or premature end to headers
- AKA “response splitting”

Content sniffing

- Browsers determine file type from headers, extension, and content-based guessing
  - Latter two for ~1% server errors
- Many sites host "untrusted" images and media
- Inconsistencies in guessing lead to kind of XSS
  - E.g., "chimera" PNG-HTML document
### Cross-site request forgery (A8)
- Certain web form on `bank.com` used to wire money
- Link or script on `evil.com` loads it with certain parameters
  - Linking is exception to same-origin
- If I'm logged in, money sent automatically
- Confused deputy, cookies are ambient authority

### CSRF prevention
- Give site's forms random-nonce tokens
  - E.g., in POST hidden fields
  - Not in a cookie, that's the whole point
- Reject requests without proper token
  - Or, ask user to re-authenticate
- XSS can be used to steal CSRF tokens

### Open redirects (A10)
- Common for one page to redirect clients to another
- Target should be validated
  - With authentication check if appropriate
- **Open redirect**: target supplied in parameter with no checks
  - Doesn't directly hurt the hosting site
  - But reputation risk, say if used in phishing
  - We teach users to trust by site
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### Site perspective (A6)
- Protect confidentiality of authenticators
  - Passwords, session cookies, CSRF tokens
- Duty to protect some customer info
  - Personally identifying info ("identity theft")
  - Credit-card info (Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards)
  - Health care (HIPAA), education (FERPA)
  - Whatever customers reasonably expect

### You need to use SSL
- Finally coming around to view that more sites need to support HTTPS
  - Special thanks to WiFi, NSA
- If you take credit cards (of course)
  - Must be protecting something, right?
  - Also important for users of Tor et al.
Server-side encryption

- Also consider encrypting data “at rest”
- (Or, avoid storing it at all)
- Provides defense in depth
  - Reduce damage after another attack
- May be hard to truly separate keys
  - OWASP example: public key for website → backend credit card info

Adjusting client behavior

- HTTPS and password fields are basic hints
- Consider disabling autocomplete
  - Usability tradeoff, save users from themselves
  - Finally standardized in HTML5
- Consider disabling caching
  - Performance tradeoff
  - Better not to have this on user’s disk
  - Or proxy? You need SSL

User vs. site perspective

- User privacy goals can be opposed to site goals
- Such as in tracking for advertisements
- Browser makers can find themselves in the middle
  - Of course, differ in institutional pressures

Third party content / web bugs

- Much tracking involves sites other than the one in the URL bar
  - For fun, check where your cookies are coming from
- Various levels of cooperation
- Web bugs are typically 1x1 images used only for tracking
  - Evercookie: store in n places, regenerate if subset are deleted

Browser fingerprinting

- Combine various server or JS-visible attributes passively
  - User agent string (10 bits)
  - Window/screen size (4.83 bits)
  - Available fonts (13.9 bits)
  - Plugin versions (15.4 bits)

(Data from panopticlick.eff.org, far from exhaustive)
History stealing

- History of what sites you've visited is not supposed to be JS-visible
- But, many side-channel attacks have been possible
  - Query link color
  - CSS style with external image for visited links
  - Slow-rendering timing channel
  - Harvesting bitmaps
  - User perception (e.g. fake CAPTCHA)

Browser and extension choices

- More aggressive privacy behavior lives in extensions
  - Disabling most JavaScript (NoScript)
  - HTTPS Everywhere (whitelist)
  - Tor Browser Bundle
- Default behavior is much more controversial
  - Concern not to kill advertising support as an economic model
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Misconfiguration problems (A5)

- Default accounts
- Unneeded features
- Framework behaviors
  - Don't automatically create variables from query fields

Openness tradeoffs

- Error reporting
  - Few benign users want to see a stack backtrace
- Directory listings
  - Hallmark of the old days
- Readable source code of scripts
  - Doesn't have your DB password in it, does it?

Using vulnerable components (A9)

- Large web apps can use a lot of third-party code
- Convenient for attackers too
  - OWASP: two popular vulnerable components downloaded 22m times
- Hiding doesn’t work if it’s popular
- Stay up to date on security announcements
Clickjacking

- Fool users about what they're clicking on
  - Circumvent security confirmations
  - Fabricate ad interest

- Example techniques:
  - Frame embedding
  - Transparency
  - Spoof cursor
  - Temporal "bait and switch"

Crawling and scraping

- A lot of web content is free-of-charge, but proprietary
  - Yours in a certain context, if you view ads, etc.

- Sites don't want it downloaded automatically (web crawling)

- Or parsed and user for another purpose (screen scraping)

- High-rate or honest access detectable

Next time

- Firewalls, NATs, and network intrusion detection