Game theory (Ch. 17.5)
Announcements

Writing 2 due Sunday
Repeated games

In repeated games, things are complicated

For example, in the basic PD, there is no benefit to "lying"

However, if you play this game multiple times, it would be beneficial to try and cooperate and stay in the [lie, lie] strategy
Repeated games

One way to do this is the tit-for-tat strategy:
1. Play a cooperative move first turn
2. Play the type of move the opponent last played every turn after (i.e. answer competitive moves with a competitive one)

This ensure that no strategy can “take advantage” of this and it is able to reach cooperative outcomes
Repeated games

Two “hard” topics (if you are interested) are:

1. We have been talking about how to find best responses, but it is very hard to take advantage if an opponent is playing a sub-optimal strategy.

2. How to “learn” or “convince” the opponent to play cooperatively if there is an option that benefits both (yet dominated).
Constraint sat. prob. (Ch. 6)
A constraint satisfaction problem is when there are a number of variables in a domain with some restrictions.

A consistent assignment of variables has no violated constraints.

A complete assignment of variables has no unassigned variables. (A solution is complete and consistent)
Map coloring is a famous CSP problem
Variables: each state/country
Domain: \{yellow, blue, green, purple\} (here)
Constraints: No adjacent variables same color
CSP

partial and not consistent

Consistent and complete
Another common use of CSP is job scheduling.
Suppose we have 3 jobs: $J_1$, $J_2$, $J_3$

If $J_1$ takes 20 time units to complete, $J_2$ takes 30 and $J_3$ takes 15 but $J_1$ must be done before $J_3$

We can represent this as (and them together):

$J_1 \& J_2 : (J_1 + 20 \leq J_2 \text{ or } J_2 + 30 \leq J_1)$

$J_1 \& J_3 : (J_1 + 20 \leq J_3)$

$J_2 \& J_3 : (J_2 + 30 \leq J_3 \text{ or } J_3 + 15 \leq J_2)$
Types of constraints

A **unary** constraint is for a single variable (i.e. $J_1$ cannot start before time 5)

**Binary** constraints are between two variables (i.e. $J_1$ starts before $J_2$)

All constraints can be broken down into using only binary and unary
Types of constraints

K-consistency is:
For any consistent sets size (k-1), there exists a valid value for any other variable (not in set)

1-consistency: All values in the domain satisfy the variable's unary constraints

2-consistency: All binary values are in domain

3-consistency: Given consistent 2 variables, there is a value for a third variable (i.e. if \{A,B\} is consistent, then exists C s.t. \{A,C\} \& \{B,C\})
Types of constraints

Rules: 1. Tasmania cannot be red
2. Neighboring providences cannot share colors

2 Colors: red green
Types of constraints

WA = \{\text{red, green}\}
NT = \{\text{red, green}\}
Q = \{\text{red, green}\}
SA = \{\text{red, green}\}
NSW = \{\text{red, green}\}
V = \{\text{red, green}\}
T = \{\text{red, green}\}

Not 1-consistent as we need T to not be red
(i.e. rule #2 eliminates T=red)
Types of constraints

WA = NT = Q = SA = NSW = V  
= \{\text{red, green}\}
T = \{\text{green}\}

1-consistent now

Also 2-consistent, for example:  
Pick WA as “set k-1”, then try to pick NT...  
If WA=green, then we can make NT=red  
if WA=red, NT=green (true for all pairs)
Types of constraints

WA = NT = Q = SA = NSW = V
= \{\text{red, green}\}
T = \{\text{green}\}

\textbf{Not} 3-consistent!

Pick (WA, SA) and add NT... If NT=green, will not work with either: (WA=red, SA=green) or (WA=green, SA=red)... NT=red also will not work, so NT's domain is empty and not 3-cons.
Applying constraints

We can repeatedly apply our constraint rules to shrink the domain of variables (we just shrunk NT's domain to nothing)

This reduces the size of the domain, making it easier to check:
  - If the domain size is zero, there are no solutions for this problem
  - If the domain size is one, this variable must take on that value (the only one in domain)
Applying constraints

AC-3 checks all 2-consistency constraints:

1. Add all binary constraints to queue
2. Pick a binary constraint \((X_i, Y_j)\) from queue
3. If \(x\) in domain\((X_i)\) and no consistent \(y\) in domain\((Y_j)\), then remove \(x\) from domain\((X_i)\)
4. If you removed in step 3, update all other binary constraints involving \(X_i\) (i.e. \((X_i, X_k)\))
5. Goto step 2 until queue empty
Applying constraints

Some problems can be solved by applying constraint restrictions (such as sudoku) (i.e. the size of domain is one after reduction)

Harder problems this is insufficient and we will need to search to find a solution

Which is Thursday's topic!