CSci 5271
Introduction to Computer Security
Day 18: Web security, part 1

Stephen McCamant
University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

Outline

SSL/TLS (leftovers)

The web from a security perspective

Announcements intermission

SQL injection

Web authentication failures

Cross-site scripting

CA validation standards

- CA's job to check if the buyer really is `foo.com`
- Race to the bottom problem:
  - CA has minimal liability for bad certs
  - Many people want cheap certs
  - Cost of validation cuts out of profit
- "Extended validation" (green bar) certs attempt to fix

HTTPS and usability

- Many HTTPS security challenges tied with user decisions
- Is this really my bank?
- Seems to be a quite tricky problem
  - Security warnings often ignored, etc.
  - We'll return to this as a major example later

Outline

SSL/TLS (leftovers)

The web from a security perspective

Announcements intermission

SQL injection

Web authentication failures

Cross-site scripting

Once upon a time: the static web

- HTTP: stateless file download protocol
  - TCP, usually using port 80
- HTML: markup language for text with formatting and links
- All pages public, so no need for authentication or encryption

Web applications

- The modern web depends heavily on active software
- Static pages have ads, paywalls, or "Edit" buttons
- Many web sites are primarily forms or storefronts
- Web hosted versions of desktop apps like word processing

Server programs

- Could be anything that outputs HTML
- In practice, heavy use of databases and frameworks
- Wide variety of commercial, open-source, and custom-written
- Flexible scripting languages for ease of development
  - PHP, Ruby, Perl, etc.

Client-side programming

- Java: nice language, mostly moved to other uses
- ActiveX: Windows-only binaries, no sandboxing
  - Glad to see it on the way out
- Flash and Silverlight: most important use is DRM-ed video
- Core language: JavaScript

JavaScript and the DOM

- JavaScript (JS) is a dynamically-typed prototype-OO language
  - No real similarity with Java
- Document Object Model (DOM): lets JS interact with pages and the browser
- Extensive security checks for untrusted-code model

Same-origin policy

- *Origin* is a tuple (scheme, host, port)
  - E.g., (http, www.umn.edu, 80)
- Basic JS rule: interaction is allowed only with the same origin
- Different sites are (mostly) isolated applications

GET, POST, and cookies

- `GET` request loads a URL, may have parameters delimited with `?`, `&`, `=`
  - Standard: should not have side-effects
- `POST` request originally for forms
  - Can be larger, more hidden, have side-effects
- *Cookie*: small token chosen by server, sent back on subsequent requests to same domain

User and attack models

- "Web attacker" owns their own site (`www.attacker.com`)
  - And users sometimes visit it
  - Realistic reasons: ads, SEO
- "Network attacker" can view and sniff unencrypted data
  - Unprotected coffee shop WiFi

Outline

SSL/TLS (leftovers)

The web from a security perspective

Announcements intermission

SQL injection

Web authentication failures

Cross-site scripting

Upcoming assignments

- Project progress reports due Wednesday
- Exercise set 3 due Thursday

Outline

SSL/TLS (leftovers)

The web from a security perspective

Announcements intermission

SQL injection

Web authentication failures

Cross-site scripting

Relational model and SQL

- Relational databases have *tables* with *rows* and single-typed *columns*
- Used in web sites (and elsewhere) to provide scalable persistent storage
- Allow complex *queries* in a declarative language SQL

Example SQL queries

- ```
  SELECT name, grade FROM
  Students WHERE grade < 60
  ORDER BY name;
  ```
- ```
  UPDATE Votes SET count =
  count + 1 WHERE candidate =
  'John';
  ```

Template: injection attacks

- Your program interacts with an interpreted language
- Untrusted data can be passed to the interpreter
- Attack data can break parsing assumptions and execute arbitrary commands

SQL + injection

- Why is this named most critical web app. risk?
- Easy mistake to make systematically
- Can be easy to exploit
- Database often has high-impact contents
  - E.g., logins or credit cards on commerce site

Strings do not respect syntax

- Key problem: assembling commands as strings
- `"WHERE name = '$name';"`
- Looks like `$name` is a string
- Try

```
$name = "me' OR grade > 80; --"
```

Using tautologies

- Tautology: formula that's always true
- Often convenient for attacker to see a whole table
- Classic: `OR 1=1`

Non-string interfaces

- Best fix: avoid constructing queries as strings
- SQL mechanism: prepared statement
  - Original motivation was performance
- Web languages/frameworks often provide other syntax

Retain functionality: escape

- *Sanitizing* data is transforming it to prevent an attack
- *Escaped* data is encoded to match language rules for literal
  - E.g., `\"` and `\n` in C
- But many pitfalls for the unwary:
  - Differences in escape syntax between servers
  - Must use right escape for context: not everything's a string

Lazy sanitization: whitelisting

- Allow only things you know to be safe/intended
- Error or delete anything else
- Short whitelist is easy and relatively easy to secure
- E.g., digits only for non-negative integer
- But, tends to break benign functionality

Poor idea: blacklisting

- Space of possible attacks is endless, don't try to think of them all
- Want to guess how many more comment formats SQL has?
- Particularly silly: blacklisting `1=1`

Attacking without the program

- Often web attacks don't get to see the program
  - Not even binary, it's on the server
- Surmountable obstacle:
  - Guess natural names for columns
  - Harvest information from error messages

Blind SQL injection

- Attacking with almost no feedback
- Common: only "error" or "no error"
- One bit channel you can make yourself: if (x) delay 10 seconds
- Trick to remember: go one character at a time

Injection beyond SQL

- XPath/XQuery: queries on XML data
- LDAP: queries used for authentication
- Shell commands: example from Ex. 1
- More web examples to come

Outline

SSL/TLS (leftovers)

The web from a security perspective

Announcements intermission

SQL injection

Web authentication failures

Cross-site scripting

Per-website authentication

- Many web sites implement their own login systems
  - + If users pick unique passwords, little systemic risk
  - – Inconvenient, many will reuse passwords
  - – Lots of functionality each site must implement correctly
  - – Without enough framework support, many possible pitfalls

Building a session

- HTTP was originally stateless, but many sites want stateful login sessions
- Building by tying requests together with a shared session ID
- Must protect confidentiality and integrity

Session ID: what

- Must not be predictable
  - Not a sequential counter
- Should ensure freshness
  - E.g., limited validity window
- If encoding data in ID, must be unforgeable
  - E.g., data with properly used MAC
  - Negative example: crypt(username || server secret)

Session ID: where

- Session IDs in URLs are prone to leaking
  - Including via user cut-and-paste
- Usual choice: non-persistent cookie
  - Against network attacker, must send only under HTTPS
- Because of CSRF (next time), should also have a non-cookie unique ID

Session management

- Create new session ID on each login
- Invalidate session on logout
- Invalidate after timeout
  - Usability / security tradeoff
  - Needed to protect users who fail to log out from public browsers

Account management

- Limitations on account creation
  - CAPTCHA? Outside email address?
- See previous discussion on hashed password storage
- Automated password recovery
  - Usually a weak spot
  - But, practically required for large system

Client and server checks

- For usability, interface should show what's possible
- But must not rely on client to perform checks
- Attackers can read/modify anything on the client side
- Easy example: item price in hidden field

Direct object references

- Seems convenient: query parameter names resource directly
  - E.g., database key, filename (path traversal)
- Easy to forget to validate on each use
- Alternative: indirect reference like per-session table
  - Not fundamentally more secure, but harder to forget check

Function-level access control

- E.g. pages accessed by URLs or interface buttons
- Must check each time that user is authorized
  - Attack: find URL when authorized, reuse when logged off
- Helped by consistent structure in code

Outline

SSL/TLS (leftovers)

The web from a security perspective

Announcements intermission

SQL injection

Web authentication failures

Cross-site scripting

XSS: HTML/JS injection

- Note: CSS is "Cascading Style Sheets"
- Another use of injection template
- Attacker supplies HTML containing JavaScript (or occasionally CSS)
- OWASP's most prevalent weakness
  - A category unto itself
  - Easy to commit in any dynamic page construction

Why XSS is bad (and named that)

- `attacker.com` can send you evil JS directly
- But XSS allows access to `bank.com` data
- Violates same-origin policy
- Not all attacks actually involve multiple sites

Reflected XSS

- Injected data used immediately in producing a page
- Commonly supplied as query/form parameters
- Classic attack is link from evil site to victim site

Persistent XSS

- Injected data used to produce page later
- For instance, might be stored in database
- Can be used by one site user to attack another user
  - E.g., to gain administrator privilege

DOM-based XSS

- Injected occurs in client-side page construction
- Flaw at least partially in code running on client
- Many attacks involve mashups and inter-site communication

No string-free solution

- For server-side XSS, no way to avoid string concatenation
- Web page will be sent as text in the end
  - Research topic: ways to change this?
- XSS especially hard kind of injection

Danger: complex language embedding

- JS and CSS are complex languages in their own right
- Can appear in various places with HTML
  - But totally different parsing rules
- Example: "..." used for HTML attributes and JS strings
  - What happens when attribute contains JS?

Danger: forgiving parsers

- History: handwritten HTML, browser competition
- Many syntax mistakes given "likely" interpretations
- Handling of incorrect syntax was not standardized

Sanitization: plain text only

- Easiest case: no tags intended, insert at document text level
- Escape HTML special characters with *entities* like `<` for <
- OWASP recommendation:
  `& < > " ' /`

Sanitization: context matters

- An OWASP document lists 5 places in a web page you might insert text
  - For the rest, "don't do that"
- Each one needs a very different kind of escaping

Sanitization: tag whitelisting

- In some applications, want to allow benign markup like `<b>`
- But, even benign tags can have JS attributes
- Handling well essentially requires an HTML parser
  - But with an adversarial-oriented design

Don't blacklist

- Browser capabilities continue to evolve
- Attempts to list all bad constructs inevitably incomplete
- Even worse for XSS than other injection attacks

Filter failure: one-pass delete

- Simple idea: remove all occurrences of `<script>`
- What happens to `<scr<script>ipt>`?

Filter failure: UTF-7

- You may have heard of UTF-8
  - Encode Unicode as 8-bit bytes
- UTF-7 is similar but uses only ASCII
- Encoding can be specified in a `<meta>` tag, or some browsers will guess
- `+ADw-script+AD4-`

Filter failure: event handlers

```
<IMG onmouseover="alert('xss')">
```

- Put this on something the user will be tempted to click on
- There are more than 100 handlers like this recognized by various browsers

Use good libraries

- Coding your own defenses will never work
- Take advantage of known good implementations
- Best case: already built into your framework
  - Disappointingly rare

Content Security Policy

- New HTTP header, W3C candidate recommendation
- Lets site opt-in to stricter treatment of embedded content, such as:
  - No inline JS, only loaded from separate URLs
  - Disable JS `eval` et al.
- Has an interesting violation-reporting mode