# CSci 5271 Introduction to Computer Security Day 22: Malware and Denial of Service

Stephen McCamant
University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

#### **Outline**

Intrusion detection systems, cont'd

Malware and the network

**Announcements intermission** 

Denial of service and the network

#### Network and host-based IDSes

- Network IDS: watch packets similar to firewall
  - But don't know what's bad until you see it
  - More often implemented offline
- Host-based IDS: look for compromised process or user from within machine

#### Recall: FPs and FNs

- False positive: detector goes off without real attack
- False negative: attack happens without detection
- Any detector design is a tradeoff between these (ROC curve)

## Signature and anomaly weaknesses

- Signatures
  - Won't exist for novel attacks
  - Often easy to attack around
- Anomaly detection
  - Hard to avoid false positives
  - Adversary can train over time

## Base rate problems

- If the true incidence is small (low base rate), most positives will be false
  - Example: screening test for rare disease
- Easy for false positives to overwhelm admins
- E.g., 100 attacks out of 10 million packets, 0.01% FP rate
  - How many false alarms?

## Adversarial challenges

- FP/FN statistics based on a fixed set of attacks
- But attackers won't keep using techniques that are detected
- Instead, will look for:
  - Existing attacks that are not detected
  - Minimal changes to attacks
  - Truly novel attacks

## Wagner and Soto mimicry attack

- Host-based IDS based on sequence of syscalls
- **©** Compute  $A \cap M$ , where:
  - A models allowed sequences
  - M models sequences achieving attacker's goals
- Further techniques required:
  - Many syscalls made into NOPs
  - Replacement subsequences with similar effect

#### **Outline**

Intrusion detection systems, cont'd

Malware and the network

**Announcements intermission** 

Denial of service and the network

#### Malicious software

- Shortened to Mal... ware
- Software whose inherent goal is malicious
  - Not just used for bad purposes
- Strong adversary
- High visibility
- Many types

## Trojan (horse)

- Looks benign, has secret malicious functionality
- Key technique: fool users into installing/running
- Concern dates back to 1970s, MLS

## (Computer) viruses

- Attaches itself to other software
- Propagates when that program runs
- Once upon a time: floppy disks
- More modern: macro viruses
- Have declined in relative importance

#### **Worms**

- Completely automatic self-propagation
- Requires remote security holes
- Classic example: 1988 Morris worm
- "Golden age" in early 2000s
- Internet-level threat seems to have declined

### Fast worm propagation

- Initial hit-list
  - Pre-scan list of likely targets
  - Accelerate cold-start phase
- Permutation-based sampling
  - Systematic but not obviously patterned
  - Pseudorandom permutation
- Approximate time: 15 minutes
  - "Warhol worm"
  - Too fast for human-in-the-loop response

## Getting underneath

- Lower-level/higher-privilege code can deceive normal code
- Rootkit: hide malware by changing kernel behavior
- MBR virus: take control early in boot
- Blue-pill attack: malware is a VMM running your system

#### Malware motivation

- Once upon a time: curiosity, fame
- Now predominates: money
  - Modest-size industry
  - Competition and specialization
- Also significant: nation-states
  - Industrial espionage
  - Stuxnet (not officially acknowledged)

## **User-based monetization**

- Adware, mild spyware
- Keyloggers, stealing financial credentials
- Ransomware
  - Application of public-key encryption
  - Malware encrypts user files
  - Only \$300 for decryption key

#### Bots and botnets

- Bot: program under control of remote attacker
- Botnet: large group of bot-infected computers with common "master"
- Command & control network protocol
  - Once upon a time: IRC
  - Now more likely custom and obfuscated
  - Centralized → peer-to-peer
  - Gradually learning crypto and protocol lessons

#### Bot monetization

- Click (ad) fraud
- Distributed DoS (next section)
- Bitcoin mining
- Pay-per-install (subcontracting)
- Spam sending

#### Malware/anti-virus arms race

- "Anti-virus" (AV) systems are really general anti-malware
- Clear need, but hard to do well
- No clear distinction between benign and malicious
- Endless possibilities for deception

## Signature-based AV

- Similar idea to signature-based IDS
- Would work well if malware were static
- In reality:
  - Large, changing database
  - Frequent updated from analysts
  - Not just software, a subscription
  - Malware stays enough ahead to survive

#### **Emulation and AV**

- Simple idea: run sample, see if it does something evil
- Obvious limitation: how long do you wait?
- Simple version can be applied online
- More sophisticated emulators/VMs used in backend analysis

## Polymorphism

- Attacker makes many variants of starting malware
- Different code sequences, same behavior
- One estimate: 30 million samples observed in 2012
- But could create more if needed

## **Packing**

- Sounds like compression, but real goal is obfuscation
- Static code creates real code on the fly
- Or, obfuscated bytecode interpreter
- Outsourced to independent "protection" tools

#### Fake anti-virus

- Major monentization strategy recently
- Your system is infected, pay \$19.95 for cleanup tool
- For user, not fundamentally distinguishable from real AV

#### **Outline**

Intrusion detection systems, cont'd

Malware and the network

**Announcements intermission** 

Denial of service and the network

## Note to early readers

- This is the section of the slides most likely to change in the final version
- If class has already happened, make sure you have the latest slides for announcements

#### **Outline**

Intrusion detection systems, cont'd

Malware and the network

**Announcements intermission** 

Denial of service and the network

#### DoS versus other vulnerabilities

- Effect: normal operations merely become impossible
- Software example: crash as opposed to code injection
- Less power that complete compromise, but practical severity can vary widely
  Airplane control DoS, etc.

#### When is it DoS?

- Very common for users to affect others' performance
- Focus is on unexpected and unintended effects
- Unexpected channel or magnitude

## Algorithmic complexity attacks

- Can an adversary make your algorithm have worst-case behavior?
- $\bigcirc$  O( $\mathfrak{n}^2$ ) quicksort
- Hash table with all entries in one bucket
- Exponential backtracking in regex matching

## XML entity expansion

XML entities (c.f. HTML &lt) are like C macros

```
#define B (A+A+A+A)
#define C (B+B+B+B+B)
#define D (C+C+C+C+C)
#define E (D+D+D+D+D)
#define F (E+E+E+E)
```

#### **Compression DoS**

- Some formats allow very high compression ratios
  - Simple attack: compress very large input
- More powerful: nested archives
- Also possible: "zip file quine" decompresses to itself

## DoS against network services

- Common example: keep legitimate users from viewing a web site
- Easy case: pre-forked server supports 100 simultaneous connections
- Fill them with very very slow downloads

## Tiny bit of queueing theory

- Mathematical theory of waiting in line
- Simple case: random arrival, sequential fixed-time service
  - M/D/1
- If arrival rate \( \geq \) service rate, expected queue length grows without bound

## SYN flooding

- SYN is first of three packets to set up new connection
- Traditional implementation allocates space for control data
- However much you allow, attacker fills with unfinished connections
- Early limits were very low (10-100)

#### SYN cookies

- Change server behavior to stateless approach
- Embed small amount of needed information in fields that will be echoed in third packet
  - MAC-like construction
- Other disadvantages, so usual implementations used only under attack

### DoS against network links

- Try to use all available bandwidth, crowd out real traffic
- Brute force but still potentially effective
- Baseline attacker power measured by packet sending rate

## Traffic multipliers

- Third party networks (not attacker or victim)
- One input packet causes n output packets
- Commonly, victim's address is forged source, multiply replies
- Misuse of debugging features

## "Smurf" broadcast ping

- ICMP echo request with forged source
- Sent to a network broadcast address
- Every recipient sends reply
- Now mostly fixed by disabling this feature

#### **Distributed DoS**

- Many attacker machines, one victim
- Easy if you own a botnet
- Impractical to stop bots one-by-one
- May prefer legitimate-looking traffic over weird attacks
  - Main consideration is difficulty to filter

#### Next time

Network anonymity with overlay networks