$ilde{m{\omega}}$  1 Show that each  $A_k$  [A(1:k,1:k) in matlab notation] is SPD.

**Solution:** Let x be any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and consider the vector y of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  obtained by stacking x followed by n-k zeros. Then it can be easily seen that :  $(A_kx,x)=(Ay,y)$  and since A is SPD then (Ay,y)>0 and therefore  $(A_kx,x)>0$  for any x in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Hence  $A_k$  is SPD.

**Solution:** This is because the determinant is the product of the eigenvalues which are real positive (see notes).

🔼 If A is SPD then for any n imes k matrix X of rank k, the matrix  $X^TAX$  is SPD.

**Solution:** For any  $v \in \mathbb{R}^k$  we have  $(X^TAXv,v)=(AXv,Xv)$ . In addition, since X is of full rank, then Xv cannot be zero if v is nonzero. Therefore we have (AXv,Xv)>0.

lacksquare Show that if  $A^T=A$  and  $(Ax,x)=0\ orall x$  then A=0.

**Solution:** The condition implies that for all x,y we have (A(x+y),x+y)=0. Now expand this as: (Ax,x)+(Ay,y)+2(Ax,y)=0 for all x,y which shows that (Ax,y)=0  $\forall x,y$ . This implies that A=0 (e.g. take  $x=e_j,y=e_i$ )...

lacksquare Show: A nonzero matrix A is indefinite iff  $\exists \; x,y: (Ax,x)(Ay,y) < 0$ .

## **Solution:**

← Trivial. The matrix cant be PSD or NSD under the conditon

Need to prove: If A is indefinite then there exist such that x,y:(Ax,x)(Ay,y)<0. Assume contrary is true, i.e.,  $\forall x,y(Ax,x)(Ay,y)\geq 0$ . There is at least one  $x_0$  such that  $(Ax_0,x_0)$  is nonzero, otherwise A=0 from previous question. Assume  $(Ax_0,x_0)>0$ . Then  $\forall y(Ax_0,x_0)(Ay,y)\geq 0$ . which implies  $\forall y:(Ay,y)\geq 0$ , i.e., A is positive semi-definite. This contradicts the assumption that A is neither positive nor negative semi-defininte  $\Box$ 

**Solution:** This is an immediate consequence of the main theorem on existence (Lec. notes. set #5) and Exercise 1 in this set which showed that  $\det(A_k)>0$  for  $k=1,\cdots,n$ .

## Example:

$$A = egin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \ -1 & 5 & 0 \ 2 & 0 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$$

✓ Is A symmetric positive definite?

**Solution:** Answer is yes because  $\det(A_k) > 0$  for k = 1, 2, 3.

**Solution:** The LU factorizatis is:

$$L = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ -1 & 1 & 0 \ 2 & 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad U = egin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \ 0 & 4 & 2 \ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore  $A = LDL^T$  where L is as given above and

$$D = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Box$$

**Solution:** From the above LDLT factorization we have  $A = GG^T$  with

$$G = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \ -1 & 2 & 0 \ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Gradient of  $\psi(x)=(Ax,x)$ 

In practice exercise # 6 it is asked: Let A be symmetric and  $\psi(x)=(Ax,x)$ . What is the partial derivative  $\frac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x_k}$ ? What is the gradient of  $\psi$ ?

**Solution:** First note that

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j 
ight]$$

and so, using basic rules for derivatives of products:

$$egin{aligned} rac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x_k} &= \sum_{i=1}^n rac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j 
ight] + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \left[ rac{\partial x_i}{\partial x_k} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j 
ight] \ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} x_j + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_{ik} \ &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} x_j \end{aligned}$$

which is nothing but twice the k-th component of Ax or  $rac{\partial \psi(x)}{\partial x_k}=2(Ax)_k$ . Therefore the gradient

of  $\psi$  is

$$\nabla \psi(x) = 2Ax$$
.

A somewhat simpler solution for finding the gradient is to expand  $\psi(x+\delta)=(A(x+\delta),(x+\delta))=...$  and to write that the linear term should be of the form  $[\nabla\psi]^T\delta$ .