Late binding
April 20, Ch 15.3
Announcements

HW code updated (oops)
Highlights

- Late binding for variables

```cpp
Parent* x = new Child;
```
Today we will deal more with inheritance

Mainly we will focus on how you can store a child class in a parent container (sort of)

```java
Parent p = Child();
```

Questions we will answer:
What is this line of code doing exactly? Are there other ways of doing this?
Early vs late binding

Static binding (or early) is when the computer determines what to use when you hit the compile button.

Dynamic binding (late) is when the computer figures out the most appropriate action when it is actually running the program.

Much of what we have done in the later parts of class is similar to late binding.
Static binding

When you go to a fast-food-ish restaurant, you get one tray, regardless of what you order.

The key is before they knew what you were ordering, they determined you needed one tray.
Dynamic binding

When you order a drink, they do not just give you a standard cup and say “fill to this line”

Now, they have to react to what you want and give you the correct cup size (not a predetermined action, thus dynamic binding)
Static binding

Checking out at a grocery store, all items are scanned and added to the bill in the same way.

The same program on the computer runs for all items and just identifies their price.
Dynamic binding

After you pay, you put the food into bags (paper/plastic/your own)

What items go where depends on what you want to use and the item properties (weight, dampness, rigidness, etc.)
All animals need to mate, so we could build a generic Animal class with a function mate().

However, the gender roles in mate() are very different between species...
Static/dynamic binding

static = rigid/constant
dynamic = flexible/adaptive
Static/dynamic binding is similar to how we originally made arrays: (static/early binding)

```cpp
int x[20];
```

To dynamic memory arrays: (dynamic/late)

```cpp
cin >> size;
// may not know how big x is until this line
int* x = new int[size];
```
Mini-quiz (ungraded)

What is in `p` at end of main()?
1. `x=2`
2. `x=2, y=10`
3. `x=1, y=10`
4. `x=1`

(Hint: what happens on this:)

```cpp
int main()
{
    Parent p;
    p.x = 1;
    Child c;
    c.x = 2;
    c.y = 10;
    p = c;
}
```
It is debatable how we should interpret line:

```
p = c;
```

In C++ (not some other languages), this just copies the parts of the parent class over

```
Parent
int x = 2
p
```

```
Parent
int x = 2
Child
int y = 10
```
Mini-quiz (ungraded)

What is at p now?
1. x=2
2. x=2, y=10
3. x=1, y=10
4. x=1
When the objects are pointers, lines line just changes the object being pointed to (but not any information inside either class)

```c
p=c;
```

```
Parent
int x = 1

Parent*
go = Child*

Parent*
p = go

Parent
int x = 2

Child*
go = 

Child
int y = 10
```
Dynamic variable binding

If a Parent type is pointing to a Child instance, we cannot directly access them (variables cannot be “virtual”...)

```
p->y = 20; // red angry underlines!
```

Instead, we have to tell it to act like a Child* by casting it:

```
static_cast<Child*>(p)->y = 20; // happy
```

(see: dynamicObjects.cpp)
Dynamic variable binding

If p points to a Parent instance, the below line is VERY BAD (but it might work... sorta...)

```cpp
Parent* p = new Parent;
static_cast<Child*>(p)->y = 10; // happy..?
```

You will be fooling around in some part of memory that is not really associated p (though you might not crash...)

(see: badMemoryManagement.cpp)
(see: memoryOops.cpp)