Rational Agents (Ch. 2)
Extra credit!

Occasionally we will have in-class activities for extra credit (+3%)

You do not need to have a full or correct answer to get credit, but you do need to attempt the problem (and show work)
Rational agent

An agent/robot must be able to perceive and interact with the environment.

A rational agent is one that always takes the best action (possibly expected best).

Agent = Player

[Diagram showing interaction between the agent and the environment.]
Consider the case of a simple vacuum agent

Environment: [state A] and [state B], both possibly with dirt that does not respawn
Actions: [move left], [move right] or [suck]
Perception: current location, [dirty or clean]
Rational agent

There are two ways to describe an agent's action based on what it sensed:

1. Agent function = directly map what it has seen (and any history) to an action
2. Agent program = logic dictating next action (past and current senses as an input to logic)

The agent function is basically a look-up table, and is typically a much larger code.
An agent function for vacuum agent:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percept sequence</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[A, Clean]</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[A, Dirty]</td>
<td>Suck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B, Clean]</td>
<td>Left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B, Dirty]</td>
<td>Suck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[A, Clean], [A, Clean]</td>
<td>Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[A, Clean], [A, Dirty]</td>
<td>Suck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A corresponding agent program:

if [Dirty], return [Suck]
if at [state A], return [move right]
if at [state B], return [move left]
Rational agent

In order to determine if the vacuum agent is rational I need a performance measure

Under which of these metrics is the agent program on the previous slide rational?
1. Have a clean floor in A and B
2. Have a clean floor as fast as possible
3. Have a clean floor with moving as little as possible
4. Maximize the amount of time sucking
Rational agent

You want to express the performance measure in terms of the environment not the agent.

For example, if we describe a measure as: “Suck up the most dirt”

A rational vacuum agent would suck up dirt then dump it back to be sucked up again...

This will not lead to a clean floor.
Rational agent

Performance measure: “-50 points per time step a state is dirty and -1 point per move”

Is our agent rational (with the proposed agent program) if...

1. Dirt does not reappear
2. Dirt always reappears the next time step
3. Dirt has a 30% chance of reappearing
4. Dirt reappears but at an unknown rate
If we do not know how often dirt will reappear, a rational agent might need to learn.

Learning can use prior knowledge to estimate how often dirt tends to reappear, but should value actual observations more (its percept).

The agent might need to explore and take sub-optimal short-term actions to find a better long-term solution.
Rational agent

To recap, a rational agent depends on:
1. Performance measure
2. Prior knowledge of the environment
3. Actions available
4. History of sensed information

You need to know all of these before you can determine rationality
Rational agent

These four items together are called the task environment (abbreviated PEAS)

Performance measure
Environment
Actuators
Sensors
Rational agent

Particle game:
http://www.ragdollsoft.com/particles/
### Rational agent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent type</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Actuators</th>
<th>Sensors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum</td>
<td>time to clean</td>
<td>A, B, dirt</td>
<td>suck, move</td>
<td>dust sensor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td>GPA, honors</td>
<td>campus, dorm</td>
<td>do HW, take test</td>
<td>eye, ear, hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>time alive</td>
<td>boarder, red balls</td>
<td>move mouse</td>
<td>screenshot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Environment classification

Environments can be further classified on the following characteristics:

1. Fully vs. partially observable
2. Single vs. multi-agent
3. Deterministic vs. stochastic
4. Episodic vs. sequential
5. Static vs. dynamic
6. Discrete vs. continuous
7. Known vs. unknown
Environment classification

In a **fully observable** environment, agents can see every part.

Agents can only see part of the environment if it is **partially observable**.
Environment classification

If your agent is the only one, the environment is a **single agent** environment.

More than one is a **multi-agent** environment (possibly cooperative or competitive).
Environment classification

If your state+action has a known effect in the environment, it is deterministic.

If actions have a distribution (probability) of possible effects, it is stochastic.
Environment classification

An episodic environment is where the previous action does not effect the next observation (i.e. can be broken into independent events)

If there is the next action depends on the previous, the environment is sequential

← episodic  sequential →
If the environment only changes when you make an action, it is static.

A dynamic environment can change while your agent is thinking or observing.
Environment classification

**Discrete** = separate/distinct (events)

**Continuous** = fluid transition (between events)

This classification can applies: agent's percept and actions, environment's time and states
Environment classification

**Known** = agent's actions have known effects on the environment

**Unknown** = the actions have an initially unknown effect on the environment (can learn)

know how to stop

do not know how to stop
Environment classification

1. Fully vs. partially observable = how much can you see?
2. Single vs. multi-agent
   = do you need to worry about others interacting?
3. Deterministic vs. stochastic
   = do you know (exactly) the outcomes of actions?
4. Episodic vs. sequential
   = do your past choices effect the future?
5. Static vs. dynamic = do you have time to think?
6. Discrete vs. continuous
   = are you restricted on where you can be?
7. Known vs. unknown
   = do you know the rules of the game?
Environment classification

Some of these classifications are associated with the state, while others with the actions

**State:**
1. Fully vs. partially observable
2. Single vs. multi-agent
3. Deterministic vs. stochastic
4. Episodic vs. sequential
5. Static vs. dynamic
6. Discrete vs. continuous
7. Known vs. unknown

**Actions:**
Environment classification

Pick a game/hobby/sport/pastime/whatever and describe both the PEAS and whether the environment/agent is:
1. Fully vs. partially observable
2. Single vs. multi-agent
3. Deterministic vs. stochastic
4. Episodic vs. sequential
5. Static vs. dynamic
6. Discrete vs. continuous
7. Known vs. unknown
### Environment classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent type</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Actuators</th>
<th>Sensors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particles</td>
<td>time alive</td>
<td>boarder, red balls</td>
<td>move mouse</td>
<td>screen-shot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Fully observable, single agent, deterministic, sequential (halfway episodic), dynamic, continuous (time, state, action, and percept), known (to me!)
Can also classify agents into four categories:

1. Simple reflex
2. Model-based reflex
3. Goal based
4. Utility based

Top is typically simpler and harder to adapt to similar problems, while bottom is more general representations.
Agent models

A simple reflex agents acts only on the most recent part of the percept and not the whole history.

Our vacuum agent is of this type, as it only looks at the current state and not any previous.

These can be generalized as: “if state = _____ then do action _____” (often can fail or loop infinitely)
Agent models

A model-based reflex agent needs to have a representation of the environment in memory (called internal state).

This internal state is updated with each observation and then dictates actions.

The degree that the environment is modeled is up to the agent/designer (a single bit vs. a full representation).
Agent models

This internal state should be from the agent's perspective, not a global perspective (as same global state might have different actions)

Consider these pictures of a maze:
Which way to go?  Pic 1  Pic 2
Agent models

The global perspective is the same, but the agents could have different goals (stars)

Goals are not global information
For the vacuum agent if the dirt does not reappear, then we do not want to keep moving.

The simple reflex agent program cannot do this, so we would have to have some memory (or model).

This could be as simple as a flag indicating whether or not we have checked the other state.
Agent models

The goal-based agent is more general than the model-based agent.

In addition to the environment model, it has a goal indicating a desired configuration.

Abstracting to a goal generalizes your method to different (similar) problems (for example, a model-based agent could solve one maze, but a goal can solve any maze).
Agent models

A utility based agent maps the sequence of states (or actions) to a real value.

Goals can describe general terms as “success” or “failure”, but there is no degree of success.

In the maze example, a goal based agent can find the exit. But a utility based agent can find the shortest path to the exit.
Agent models

What is the agent model of particles?

Think of a way to improve the agent and describe what model it is now
An atomic state has no sub-parts and acts as a simple unique identifier.

An example is an elevator:
Elevator = agent (actions = up/down)
Floor = state

In this example, when someone requests the elevator on floor 7, the only information the agent has is what floor it currently is on.
State structure

Another example of an atomic representation is simple path finding: If we start (here) in Vincent 16, how would you get to Keller's CS office?

V. 16 -> Hallway1 -> V. Stairs -> Outside -> Walk to KHKH -> K. Stairs -> CS office

The words above hold no special meaning other than differentiating from each other
State structure

A factored state has a fixed number of variables/attributes associated with it.

Our simple vacuum example is factored, as each state has an id (A or B) along with a “dirty” property.

In particles, each state has a set of red balls with locations along with the blue ball location.
Structured states simply describe objects and their relationship to others.

Suppose we have 3 blocks: A, B and C. We could describe: A on top of B, C next to B.

A factored representation would have to enumerate all possible configurations of A, B and C to be as representative.
State structure

We will start using structured approaches when we deal with logic:

Summer implies Warm
Warm implies T-Shirt

The current state might be:
!Summer (¬Summer)
but the states have intrinsic relations between each other (not just actions)