

CSci 5271: Introduction to Computer Security

Hands-on Assignment 1

due: September 20th – October 18th, 2019

Ground Rules. You may choose to complete this homework in a group of up to three people; working in a group is not required, but strongly recommended. If you work in a group, only one group member should submit a solution each week, listing the names of all the group members. You'll be submitting answers once a week, by 11:59pm Central Time on Fridays running from September 20th through October 18th. Each week, one member of your group should use the appropriate Canvas assignment to upload a tarred and gzipped directory containing all the files mentioned as required for that week. You may use any written source you can find to help with this assignment, on paper or the Internet, but you **must** explicitly reference any sources other than the lecture notes, assigned readings, and course staff.

Hackxploitation. This homework involves finding many different ways to exploit a poorly-written program that runs as root, to “escalate privileges” from a normal user to the super-user. The program we will exploit is the Badly Coded Text Mail Transfer Agent, BCMTA. (The same company produced the Badly Coded Versioning System, Badly Coded Print Server, Badly Coded File Archiver, and Badly Coded Text Editor used in previous years' 5271s.) You can download the source code for BCMTA from the course web page near where you got this assignment.

BCMTA needs to run as the superuser `root` because it needs to be able to deliver emails into any user's mailbox. It is installed as a `setuid-root` binary that any user on the system can execute with root privileges to send messages from the command line, and a copy of it also runs as root listening for messages over the network.

Because BCMTA is intended to run as root, and breaking it lets you get root, we can't have you doing so directly on a CSE Labs machine. Instead, we will provide each group with a setup to run a virtual machine, and you will have root access (e.g. using the `sudo` command) inside the VM. The VMs will run on a CSE Labs cluster: we'll provide more information about running them once they're available.

BCMTA is intentionally sloppy code; please never copy or use this code anywhere else! It is so sloppy that when run as root, it is full of ways that allow someone who sends the right commands to become root. The main part of the assignment is for you to find four or more ways to get a running command shell with UID 0 as a result of sloppy coding and/or design in BCMTA. Another way of classifying the vulnerabilities is that some of them are logic errors or problems with the program's interaction with the operating system (for instance these would arise in just the same way if the program were written in Java), while others are related to the unsafe low-level nature of C which lead to control-flow hijacking.

To give you a feel for how security vulnerabilities evolve over time, and to provide a reason not to put all the work off until the last minute, we run the assignment in a weekly “penetrate-and-patch” format. Each week you'll be responsible for finding one vulnerability in BCMTA, and producing an exploit for it; this exploit is due on a Friday. Then, by the

following Monday, we'll post a new version of BCMETA with one or more previous security vulnerabilities fixed ("patched"), and the cycle will repeat. (Note that in addition to the usual rules about partial credit for late submissions, you will get zero credit for an exploit if you submit it after we release a patch that fixes the same vulnerability. Just another reason to submit on time.) Over time the more obvious or easy-to-exploit bugs in BCMETA will get fixed, so you will have to find more subtle bugs and more sophisticated exploits.

For each hole you find, you should submit:

- (a) A UNIX shell script (for the `/bin/bash` shell) that exploits this hole to open a root shell. In fact more specifically, just so there's no confusion about what's a root shell, we've created a new program named `/bin/rootshell` specifically for your exploit to invoke. If you invoke `rootshell` as root, it will give you a root shell as the name suggests; otherwise it will print a dismissive message.

Name your script `exploit.sh`. We will test your exploit scripts by running them as an ordinary user named `test`, starting from that user's home directory `/home/test`, with a fresh install of BCVI. So your scripts will need to create any supporting file or directory structures they need in order to work, and they need to run completely automatically with no user interaction. On the same CSE Labs machines with the VMs we will also provide you with a tool `test-exploit` you should use to test your exploit scripts.

- (b) A text file that explains how the exploit works, named `readme.txt`. The text file `readme.txt` should identify what mistakes in the source code `bcmta.c` make the exploit possible, explain how you constructed your inputs, and explain step-by-step what happens when an ordinary user runs `exploit.sh`.

In choosing which vulnerabilities to patch each week, we will start by looking at which vulnerabilities were most commonly exploited, so there is a good chance that your old vulnerabilities will no longer work at all after the patch. However even if an old vulnerability happens to still work, you still need to submit an exploit for a new vulnerability each week. How can we judge whether two scripts, `exploit1` and `exploit2`, exploit different vulnerabilities? Imagine that you are a lazy programmer for Badly Coded, Inc., and someone shows you `exploit1`: a patch is in order! If there's a plausible patch the lazy programmer might write which would protect against `exploit1`, but still leave the program vulnerable to `exploit2`, then the two scripts count as exploiting different vulnerabilities. In particular, if you have an exploit that works against an old BCMETA version, and the vulnerable code is changed to stop some attacks, but then you find an attack that works against the new "fixed" version, that also counts as a new exploit. If there could be any doubt about whether two of your exploits too similar in this way, for instance if they rely on the same or overlapping line(s) of code, you should argue for why they are distinct in your `readme` files. If you're not sure about whether two exploits are distinct, please ask us before turning the second one in. (Or of course you could also keep looking for more vulnerabilities: there are enough that are clearly distinct if you can find them.)

Because we won't be patching the vulnerabilities all at once, you have some flexibility in when you spend your time on this project: you might be able to save time later by finding a lot of different vulnerabilities early on. Since we'll be patching roughly in order of increasing difficulty, you'll want to use your simplest exploits first. Of course you always run a risk that vulnerabilities will be patched if you save them: and even if the vulnerability still exists in a newer version, other changes to the program might mean that the exploit needs to be a bit different.

You'll probably want some of your exploits to be control-flow hijacking attacks as discussed in lecture. The classic tutorial on building such attacks is is \aleph_1 's "Smashing the stack for fun and profit," which can be downloaded from <http://www.insecure.org/stf/smashstack.txt>. Though it's detailed, it will still take some work to apply this tutorial to BCMETA: for instance to find out the locations of things you'd like to overwrite, you'll need to do something like use GDB, add `printf` statements, or examine the assembly-language code.

In the course of the assignment there are a total of 100 regular points available of the three weeks, and then extra credit points in a bonus week (the week of spring break). Specifically the points are split up as follows:

- Week 1: 10 point, due Friday September 20th.

In the first week of the assignment, you should familiarize yourself with reading the BCMETA source code and writing automatic exploit scripts. But to make the searching a bit easier in the first week, we've included a vulnerability that's particularly easy to exploit: it's really more of a "backdoor" than an vulnerability, like it was put into the source code intentionally. So once you find it, it shouldn't take too much work to figure out how to attack it.

- Week 2: 20 points, due Friday September 27th.

In the first patch, the security experts at Badly Coded, Inc., will definitely fix the simple mentioned in the first week. But other than what was patched, you'll have your choice among the remaining vulnerabilities in the second week; probably some relatively simple exploits will still be possible.

- Week 3: 30 points, due Friday October 4rd.

By the third week the remaining vulnerabilities and attack techniques will become more subtle, which is part of why we increase the points available.

- Week 4: 40 points, due Friday October 11th.

The fourth week exploit will again be challenging, so it's again worth 30 points.

But even if we fixed all of the sloppy coding mistakes in BCMETA, the *design* of the system leaves it vulnerable to some kinds of attacks. So taking the white-hat perspective, for the remaining 10 points, in a file called `design.txt` you should choose two or three secure design principles (for instance, among the ones discussed in lecture) which are

most blatantly violated by BCMETA. For these design principles, discuss how BCMETA violates them and how you would change the design of BCMETA to mitigate these vulnerabilities. If you feel it will be helpful, you can include pseudocode or working C to illustrate your changes.

- Bonus Week: $10 \cdot n$ points extra credit, due Friday October 18th.

After week 4 we will definitely have fixed all the easily exploitable bugs in BCMETA, and we might even re-enable some of the security hardening mechanisms we'd earlier removed. But it's still not really secure. So if you're enjoying finding and exploiting bugs, you can keep going. You'll earn 10 points of extra credit for each additional unique exploit you find, limited only by the total number of remaining security bugs in BCMETA. In addition to the extra credit, the team(s) that find the largest total number of bugs may also receive some special in-class recognition.

A portion of your grade for each exploit will depend on the quality of your explanation, to make sure you really understand what's going on. But an exploit that does not run `/bin/rootshell` as root when invoked by `test-exploit` is not an exploit as far as we're concerned. A non-working exploit will be eligible for at most 3 points of partial credit. Make sure to test your exploits carefully.

Happy Hacking!