#### THE URV & SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITIONS

- Orthogonal subspaces;
- Orthogonal projectors; Orthogonal decomposition;
- The URV decomposition
- Introduction to the Singular Value Decomposition
- The SVD existence and properties.

### Orthogonal projectors and subspaces

Notation: Given a supspace  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  define

$$\mathcal{X}^{\perp} = \{y \mid y \perp x, \;\; orall \; x \; \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

- lacksquare Let  $Q=[q_1,\cdots,q_r]$  an orthonormal basis of  ${\mathcal X}$
- Mow would you obtain such a basis?
- $\blacktriangleright$  Then define orthogonal projector  $P=QQ^T$

# Properties

- (a)  $P^2=P$  (b)  $(I-P)^2=I-P$  (c)  $Ran(P)=\mathcal{X}$  (d)  $Null(P)=\mathcal{X}^\perp$
- (e)  $Ran(I-P) = Null(P) = \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Note that (b) means that I-P is also a projector

9-1

Proof. (a), (b) are trivial

(c): Clearly  $Ran(P) = \{x | x = QQ^Ty, y \in \mathbb{R}^r\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ .  $\overline{\mathsf{Any}\ x} \in \ \mathcal{X}$  is of the form  $x = Qy, y \in \mathbb{R}^r$ . Take  $Px = \overline{\mathsf{Any}\ x}$  $QQ^T(Qy)=Qy=x$ . Since  $x=Px,\,x\in Ran(P)$ . So  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq Ran(P)$ . In the end  $\mathcal{X} = Ran(P)$ .

(d):  $x \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp} \leftrightarrow (x,y) = 0, \forall y \in \mathcal{X} \leftrightarrow (x,Qz) =$  $\overline{0, \forall z} \in \mathbb{R}^r \leftrightarrow (Q^T x, z) = 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^r \leftrightarrow Q^T x = 0 \leftrightarrow$  $QQ^Tx = 0 \leftrightarrow Px = 0.$ 

- (e): Need to show inclusion both ways.
- $\bullet x \in Null(P) \leftrightarrow Px = 0 \leftrightarrow (I P)x = x \rightarrow$  $x \in Ran(I-P)$
- $ullet x \in Ran(I-P) \leftrightarrow \exists y \in \mathbb{R}^m | x = (I-P)y \rightarrow$  $Px = P(I - P)y = 0 \rightarrow x \in Null(P)$

Result: Any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  can be written in a unique way as

$$x=x_1+x_2, \quad x_1 \ \in \ \mathcal{X}, \quad x_2 \ \in \ \mathcal{X}^\perp$$

- ightharpoonup Proof: Just set  $x_1 = Px$ ,  $x_2 = (I P)x$
- ➤ Note:

 $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{X}^{\perp} = \{0\}$ 

- ➤ Therefore:
- $\mathbb{R}^m = \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X}^\perp$
- ➤ Called the *Orthogonal Decomposition*

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 - SVD

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 - SVD

### $Orthogonal\ decomposition$

- In other words  $\mathbb{R}^m=P\mathbb{R}^m\oplus (I-P)\mathbb{R}^m$  or:  $\mathbb{R}^m=Ran(P)\oplus Ran(I-P)$  or:  $\mathbb{R}^m=Ran(P)\oplus Null(P)$  or:  $\mathbb{R}^m=Ran(P)\oplus Ran(P)^\perp$
- igwedge Can complete basis  $\{q_1,\cdots,q_r\}$  into orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $q_{r+1},\cdots,q_m$
- $lacksquare \{q_{r+1},\cdots,q_m\}=$  basis of  $\mathcal{X}^\perp$ . ightarrow lacksquare lacksquare lacksquare lacksquare

9-5 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVI

9-5

 $\blacktriangleright$  Express the above with bases for  $\mathbb{R}^m$ :

$$[\underbrace{u_1,u_2,\cdots,u_r}_{Ran(A)},\underbrace{u_{r+1},u_{r+2},\cdots,u_m}_{Null(A^T)}]$$

and for  $\mathbb{R}^n$   $[\underbrace{v_1,v_2,\cdots,v_r}_{Ran(A^T)},\underbrace{v_{r+1},v_{r+2},\cdots,v_n}_{Null(A)}]$ 

lacksquare Observe  $u_i^T A v_j = 0$  for i > r or j > r. Therefore

$$U^TAV = R = egin{pmatrix} C & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m imes n} \quad C \in \ \mathbb{R}^{r imes r} \quad \longrightarrow$$

$$A = URV^T$$

➤ General class of URV decompositions

Four fundamental supspaces - URV decomposition

Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$  and consider  $\mathrm{Ran}(A)^{\perp}$ 

Property 1: 
$$\operatorname{Ran}(A)^{\perp} = Null(A^T)$$

*Proof:*  $x \in \operatorname{Ran}(A)^{\perp}$  iff (Ay,x)=0 for all y iff  $(y,A^Tx)=0$  for all y ...

Property 2: 
$$\operatorname{Ran}(A^T) = Null(A)^{\perp}$$

ightharpoonup Take  $\mathcal{X} = \operatorname{Ran}(A)$  in orthogonal decomoposition. ightharpoonup Result:

$$\mathbb{R}^m = Ran(A) \oplus Null(A^T) \ \mathbb{R}^n = Ran(A^T) \oplus Null(A)$$

 $egin{array}{ll} ext{4 fundamental subspaces} \ Ran(A) & Null(A^T) \ Ran(A^T) & Null(A) \end{array}$ 

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

9-6

Far from unique.

Show how you can get a decomposition in which C is lower (or upper) triangular, from the above factorization.

- ightharpoonup Can select decomposition so that R is upper triangular ightharpoonup decomposition.
- ightharpoonup Can select decomposition so that R is lower triangular ightarrow ULV decomposition.
- $ightharpoonup \mathsf{SVD} = \mathsf{special} \; \mathsf{case} \; \mathsf{of} \; \mathsf{URV} \; \mathsf{where} \; R = \mathsf{diagonal} \;$

Householder QR factorization (possibly with pivoting)? [Hint: you must use Householder twice]

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 - SVD

-7 \_\_\_\_\_\_ GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

## The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)

Theorem For any matrix  $A\in\mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$  there exist unitary matrices  $U\in\mathbb{R}^{m imes m}$  and  $V\in\mathbb{R}^{n imes n}$  such that

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$

where  $\Sigma$  is a diagonal matrix with entries  $\sigma_{ii} \geq 0$ .

$$\sigma_{11} \geq \sigma_{22} \geq \cdots \sigma_{pp} \geq 0$$
 with  $p = \min(n, m)$ 

ightharpoonup The  $\sigma_{ii}$ 's are the singular values. Notation change  $\sigma_{ii}$   $\longrightarrow$   $\sigma_{i}$ 

Proof: Let  $\sigma_1 = \|A\|_2 = \max_{x, \|x\|_2 = 1} \|Ax\|_2$ . There exists a pair of unit vectors  $v_1, u_1$  such that

$$Av_1 = \sigma_1 u_1$$

-9 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – S

9-9

ightharpoonup Complete  $v_1$  into an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

$$V \equiv [v_1, V_2] = n imes n$$
 unitary

ightharpoonup Complete  $u_1$  into an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ 

$$U \equiv [u_1, U_2] = m imes m$$
 unitary

➤ Then, it is easy to show that

$$AV = U imes egin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & w^T \ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \; o \; U^T A V = egin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & w^T \ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \equiv A_1$$

9-10 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

-10

Observe that

$$\left\|A_1inom{\sigma_1}{w}
ight\|_2 \geq \sigma_1^2 + \|w\|^2 = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \|w\|^2} \left\|inom{\sigma_1}{w}
ight\|_2$$

- $\triangleright$  This shows that w must be zero [why?]
- Complete the proof by an induction argument.

Case 1:

$$A = U \qquad \sum_{\Sigma} V^{T}$$

Case 2:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} A & = & U & \sum \Sigma & \bigcirc & V^T \end{array}$$

-11 \_\_\_\_\_ GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 - SVD

Gyl 24 54-5 - SVD

#### The "thin" SVD

➤ Consider the Case-1. It can be rewritten as

$$oldsymbol{A} = \left[oldsymbol{U}_1 oldsymbol{U}_2
ight] egin{pmatrix} oldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 \ 0 \end{pmatrix} oldsymbol{V}^T$$

Which gives:

$$A = U_1 \Sigma_1 V^T$$

where  $U_1$  is m imes n (same shape as A), and  $\Sigma_1$  and V are n imes n

➤ Referred to as the "thin" SVD. Important in practice.

How can you obtain the thin SVD from the QR factorization of A and the SVD of an  $n \times n$  matrix?

9-13 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVI

9-13

A few properties. Assume that

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > 0$$
 and  $\sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_p = 0$ 

Then:

- rank(A) = r = number of nonzero singular values.
- $\bullet \operatorname{Ran}(A) = \operatorname{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$
- $\bullet \ \operatorname{Null}(A^T) = \operatorname{span}\{u_{r+1}, u_{r+2}, \dots, u_m\}$
- $\bullet \ \operatorname{Ran}(A^T) = \operatorname{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$
- Null(A) = span $\{v_{r+1}, v_{r+2}, \dots, v_n\}$

9-14 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

-14

#### Properties of the SVD (continued)

• The matrix **A** admits the SVD expansion:

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

- ullet  $\|A\|_2=\sigma_1=$  largest singular value
- ullet  $\|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_i^2
  ight)^{1/2}$
- ullet When A is an n imes n nonsingular matrix then  $\|A^{-1}\|_2=1/\sigma_n$

Theorem Let k < r and

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$$

then

$$\min_{rank(B)=k} \|A-B\|_2 = \|A-A_k\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$$

Gyl 24 54-5 – SVD

Proof: First:  $||A - B||_2 \ge \sigma_{k+1}$ , for any rank-k matrix B.

Consider  $\mathcal{X} = \operatorname{span}\{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{k+1}\}$ . Note:

$$dim(Null(B)) = n - k \rightarrow Null(B) \cap \mathcal{X} \neq \{0\}$$

## [Why?]

Let  $x_0\in\ Null(B)\cap\mathcal{X},\ x_0
eq 0.$  Write  $x_0=Vy$ . Then  $\|(A-B)x_0\|_2=\|Ax_0\|_2=\|U\Sigma V^TVy\|_2=\|\Sigma y\|_2$ 

But  $\|\Sigma y\|_2 \geq \sigma_{k+1} \|x_0\|_2$  (Show this).  $o \|A-B\|_2 \geq \sigma_{k+1}$ 

Second: take  $B=A_k$ . Achieves the min.  $\square$ 

9-17 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVI

9-17

Define the  $r \times r$  matrix

$$\Sigma_1 = \mathrm{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$$

ightharpoonup Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m imes n}$  and consider  $A^T A \ (\in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n})$ :

$$A^TA = V\Sigma^T\Sigma V^T \ o \ A^TA = V \ \underbrace{ egin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} }_{n imes n} V^T$$

 $\triangleright$  This gives the spectral decomposition of  $A^TA$ .

Right and Left Singular vectors:

$$egin{aligned} Av_i &= \sigma_i u_i \ A^T u_j &= \sigma_j v_j \end{aligned}$$

- lacksquare Consequence  $A^TAv_i=\sigma_i^2v_i$  and  $AA^Tu_i=\sigma_i^2u_i$
- $\blacktriangleright$  Right singular vectors  $(v_i$ 's) are eigenvectors of  $A^TA$
- $\triangleright$  Left singular vectors  $(u_i)$ 's are eigenvectors of  $AA^T$
- Possible to get the SVD from eigenvectors of  $AA^T$  and  $A^TA$  but: difficulties due to non-uniqueness of the SVD

9-18 GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

9-18

ightharpoonup Similarly, U gives the eigenvectors of  $AA^T$ .

$$oldsymbol{A}oldsymbol{A}^T = oldsymbol{U} egin{pmatrix} \sum_{1}^2 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} oldsymbol{U}^T$$

#### Important:

 $A^TA = VD_1V^T$  and  $AA^T = UD_2U^T$  give the SVD factors U,V up to signs!

-19 \_\_\_\_\_ GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD

9-20

GvL 2.4, 5.4-5 – SVD