Minimax (Ch. 5-5.3)

COMPLETE MAP OF OPTIMAL TIC-TAC-TOE MOVES

Your move is given by the position of the largest red symbol on the grid. When your opponent picks a move, zoom in on the region of the grid where they went. Repeat.

MAP FOR X:

MAP FOR O:
So far we have look at how a single agent can search the environment based on its actions.

Now we will extend this to cases where you are not the only one changing the state (i.e. multi-agent).

The first thing we have to do is figure out how to represent these types of problems.
Multi-agent (competitive)

Most games only have a utility (or value) associated with the end of the game (leaf node)

So instead of having a “goal” state (with possibly infinite actions), we will assume:

1. All actions eventually lead to terminal state (i.e. a leaf in the tree)
2. We know the value (utility) only at leaves
For now we will focus on zero-sum two-player games, which means a loss for one person is a gain for another.

Betting is a good example of this: If I win I get $5 (from you), if you win you get $1 (from me). My gain corresponds to your loss.

Zero-sum does not technically need to add to zero, just that the sum of scores is constant.
Multi-agent (competitive)

Zero sum games mean rather than representing outcomes as:
[Me=5, You =-5]

We can represent it with a single number: [Me=5], as we know: Me+You = 0 (or =c)

This lets us write a single outcome which “Me” wants to maximize and “You” wants to minimize
Minimax

Thus the root (our agent) will start with a maximizing node, the opponent will get minimizing nodes, then back to max... repeat...

This alternation of maximums and minimums is called minimax.

I will use $\Delta$ to denote nodes that try to maximize and $\nabla$ for minimizing nodes.
Minimax

Let's say you are treating a friend to lunch. You choose either: Shuang Cheng or Afro Deli

The friend always orders the most inexpensive item, you want to treat your friend to best food

Which restaurant should you go to?

Menus:
Shuang Cheng: Fried Rice=$10.25, Lo Mein=$8.55
Afro Deli: Cheeseburger=$6.25, Wrap=$8.74
You could phrase this problem as a set of maximum and minimums as:
\[
\max( \min(8.55, 10.25), \min(6.25, 8.55) )
\]

... which corresponds to:
\[
\max( \text{Shuang Cheng choice, Afro Deli choice} )
\]

If our goal is to spend the most money on our friend, we should go to Shuang Cheng
Minimax

One way to solve this is from the leaves up:
Minimax

\[ \max( \min(1,3), 2, \min(0,4) ) = 2, \text{ should pick action F} \]

Order:
1\(^{st}\). R (can swap 2\(^{nd}\). B B and R)
3\(^{rd}\). P
Solve this minimax problem:
Minimax

This representation works, but even in small games you can get a very large search tree.

For example, tic-tac-toe has about 9! actions to search (or about 300,000 nodes).

Larger problems (like chess or go) are not feasible for this approach (more on this next class).
Minimax

“Pruning” in real life:
Snip branch

“Pruning” in CSCI trees:
Snip branch
However, we can get the same answer with searching less by using efficient “pruning”

It is possible to prune a minimax search that will never “accidentally” prune the optimal solution

A popular technique for doing this is called alpha-beta pruning (see next slide)
Alpha-beta pruning

This can apply to max nodes as well, so we propagate the best values for max/min in tree

Alpha-beta pruning algorithm:
Do minimax as normal, except:
Going down tree: pass “best max/min” values
min node: if parent's “best max” greater than current node, go back to parent immediately
max node: if parent's “best min” less than current node, go back to parent immediately
Let's solve this with alpha-beta pruning.
Alpha-beta pruning

Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”

Branches L to R:

```
1   F   2   R
|   |   |   |
L   R   L   R
1   3   0   4
```

↑=?  ↓=?
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”
Branches L to R:
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”

Branches L to R:
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”

Branches L to R:

```
  ↑ = ?
↓ = 1
```

```
1  3  0  4
```

```
L  F  R
```

```
  ↑ = ?
↓ = ?
```

```
L  R
```

```
1  2  4  3
```

```
L  F  R
```

```
  ↑ = ?
↓ = ?
```

```
L  R
```

```
1  3  0  4
```

```
L  F  R
```

```
  ↑ = ?
↓ = ?
```

```
L  R
```
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”
Branches L to R:

Alpha-beta pruning
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”

Branches L to R:
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”
Branches L to R:
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”
Branches L to R:

Alpha-beta pruning
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”

Branches L to R:

Stop exploring
Let best max be “↑” and best min be “↓”
Branches L to R:

Done!
\[
\text{max}( \min(1,3), 2, \min(0, ???) ) = 2, \text{ should pick action F}
\]

Order:
1\textsuperscript{st} Red
2\textsuperscript{nd} Blue
3\textsuperscript{rd} Purp

Do not consider Alpha-beta pruning
Solve this problem with alpha-beta pruning:
Alpha-beta pruning

In general, alpha-beta pruning allows you to search to a depth $2d$ for the minimax search cost of depth $d$

So if minimax needs to find: $b^m$
Then, alpha-beta searches: $b^{m/2}$

This is exponentially better, but the worst case is the same as minimax
Alpha-beta pruning

Ideally you would want to put your best (largest for max, smallest for min) actions first.

This way you can prune more of the tree as a min node stops more often for larger “best”

Obviously you do not know the best move, (otherwise why are you searching?) but some effort into guessing goes a long way (i.e. exponentially less states)
Side note:

In alpha-beta pruning, the heuristic for guess which move is best can be complex, as you can greatly affect pruning

While for A* search, the heuristic had to be very fast to be useful (otherwise computing the heuristic would take longer than the original search)
Alpha-beta pruning

This rule of checking “best max” vs. “best min” only really works for two player games...

What about 3 player games?
For more than two player games, you need to provide values at every state for all the players. When it is the player's turn, they get to pick the action that maximizes their own value. (We will assume each agent is greedy and only wants to increase its own score... more on this next time.)
3-player games

(The node number shows who is max-ing)

What should player 1 do?

What can you prune?
3-player games

How would you do alpha-beta pruning in a 3-player game?
3-player games

How would you do alpha-beta pruning in a 3-player game?

TL;DR: Not easily

(also you cannot prune at all if there is no range on the values even in a zero sum game)

This is because one player could take a very low score for the benefit of the other two