A solution that doesn’t help

- Part of what makes this classic attack easy is that the array grows in the direction toward the function’s return address.
- If we made the stack grow towards higher addresses instead, this wouldn’t work in the same way.
- Classic puzzler: why isn’t this a solution to the problem?

A concrete example

```c
void func(char *attacker_controlled) {
    char buffer[50];
    strcpy(buffer, attacker_controlled);
}
```

What might happen in this example, for instance?

Stack direction orientation

- Higher addresses are “deeper” in the stack, and represent older stack frames (callers) and data (pushed first).
- Lower addresses are closer to the “top” of the stack, representing more-recently pushed frames (callee) and data.

Stack frame normal overflow

Reversed overflow
Non-contiguous overflow

- An overflow doesn't have to write to the buffer in sequence
- For instance, the code might compute a single index, and store to it

Heap buffer overflow

- Overwriting a malloced buffer isn't close to a return address
- But other targets are available:
  - Metadata used to manage the heap, contents of other objects

Use after free

- A common bug is to free an object via one pointer and keep using it via another
- Leads to unsafe behavior after the memory is reused for another object

Integer overflow

- Integer types have limited size, and will wrap around if a computation is too large
- Not unsafe itself, but often triggers later bugs
  - E.g., not allocating enough space

Function pointers, etc.

- Other data used for control flow could be targeted for overwriting by an attacker
- Common C case: function pointers
- More obscure C case: setjmp/longjmp buffers

Virtual dispatch

- When C++ objects have virtual methods, which implementation is called depends on the runtime type
- Under the hood, this is implemented with a table of function pointers called a vtable
- An appealing target in attacking C++ code

Non-control data overwrite

- An attacker can also trigger undesired-to-you behavior by modifying other data
- For instance, flags that control other security checks

Format string injection

- The first argument of printf is a little language controlling output formatting
- Best practice is for the format string to be a constant
- An attacker who controls a format string can trigger other mischief
**Outline**

- Reversing the stack, discussion
- Other safety problems
- Logistics announcements
- Integer overflow example
- Code auditing

**Piazza for announcements, Q&A**

- Sign up to the Piazza page for announcements, questions and answers
- Preferred over email for both announcements and Q&A
- Get to via links from Canvas or public pages
- There now: some details from Thursday’s demo

**Lab participation**

- In online format, err on the side of being explicit about whether we’re recording your participation
- Good to check before you leave, especially if early

**Integer overflow to buffer overflow**

- One common pattern: overflow causes an allocation to be too small
- In machine integers, multiplication doesn’t always make a value larger

**Overflow example questions**

1. What’s a value of `num_objs` that would trigger an overflow?
   - Think back to 2021 on how multiplication overflows
2. Why is the `p->ident` check relevant to exploitability?

http://www-users.cselabs.umn.edu/classes/Spring-2021/csci4271/slides/02/overflow-eg.c
Auditing is...

- Reading code to find security bugs
- Threat modeling comes first, tells you what kinds of bugs you’re looking for
- Bug fixing comes next (might be someone else’s job)

Tiers and triage

- You might not have time to do a complete job, so use auditing time strategically
- Which bugs are most likely, and easiest to find?
- Triage into definitely safe, definitively unsafe, hard to tell
  - Hard to tell might be improved even if safe

Threat model and taint

- Vulnerability depends on what an attacker might control
- Another word for attacker-controlled is “tainted”
- Threat model is the best source of tainting information
  - Of course, can always be conservative

Where to look for problems

- If you can’t read all the code carefully, search for indicators of common danger spots
  - For format strings, look for `printf`
  - For buffer overflows, look at buffers and copying functions

Ideal: proof

- Given enough time, for each dangerous spot, be able to convince someone:
  - Proof of safety: reasons why a bug could never happen, could turn into assertions
  - Proof of vulnerability: example of tainted input that causes a crash

Auditing exercise

- BCLPR is a buggy program from a previous year’s 5271
- This code has at least three buffer overflow bugs: where are they?
- Are all the bugs exploitable? As an attacker, could you use them?

http://www-users.cselabs.umn.edu/classes/Spring-2021/csci4271/slides/02/bclpr.c