

CSci 4271W
Development of Secure Software Systems
Day 18: Web Application Security, part 2

Stephen McCamant
University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

Outline

More cross-site risks, cont'd

SQL injection

Confidentiality and privacy

Even more web risks

Cross-site request forgery

- ❑ Certain web form on bank.com used to wire money
- ❑ Link or script on evil.com loads it with certain parameters
 - ❑ Linking is exception to same-origin
- ❑ If I'm logged in, money sent automatically
- ❑ Confused deputy, cookies are ambient authority

CSRF prevention

- ❑ Give site's forms random-nonce tokens
 - ❑ E.g., in POST hidden fields
 - ❑ Not in a cookie, that's the whole point
- ❑ Reject requests without proper token
 - ❑ Or, ask user to re-authenticate
- ❑ XSS can be used to steal CSRF tokens

Open redirects

- ❑ Common for one page to redirect clients to another
- ❑ Target should be validated
 - ❑ With authentication check if appropriate
- ❑ Open redirect: target supplied in parameter with no checks
 - ❑ Doesn't directly hurt the hosting site
 - ❑ But reputation risk, say if used in phishing
 - ❑ We teach users to trust by site

Outline

More cross-site risks, cont'd

SQL injection

Confidentiality and privacy

Even more web risks

Relational model and SQL

- ❑ Relational databases have *tables* with *rows* and single-typed *columns*
- ❑ Used in web sites (and elsewhere) to provide scalable persistent storage
- ❑ Allow complex *queries* in a declarative language SQL

Example SQL queries

- ❑ `SELECT name, grade FROM Students WHERE grade < 60 ORDER BY name;`
- ❑ `UPDATE Votes SET count = count + 1 WHERE candidate = 'John';`

Template: injection attacks

- Your program interacts with an interpreted language
- Untrusted data can be passed to the interpreter
- Attack data can break parsing assumptions and execute arbitrary commands

SQL + injection

- Why is this named most critical web app. risk?
- Easy mistake to make systematically
- Can be easy to exploit
- Database often has high-impact contents
 - E.g., logins or credit cards on commerce site

Strings do not respect syntax

- Key problem: assembling commands as strings
- "WHERE name = '\$name' ;"
- Looks like \$name is a string
- Try \$name = "me' OR grade > 80; --"

Using tautologies

- Tautology: formula that's always true
- Often convenient for attacker to see a whole table
- Classic: OR 1=1

Non-string interfaces

- Best fix: avoid constructing queries as strings
- SQL mechanism: prepared statement
 - Original motivation was performance
- Web languages/frameworks often provide other syntax

Retain functionality: escape

- Sanitizing data is transforming it to prevent an attack
- Escaped data is encoded to match language rules for literal
 - E.g., \" and \n in C
- But many pitfalls for the unwary:
 - Differences in escape syntax between servers
 - Must use right escape for context: not everything's a string

Lazy sanitization: allow-listing

- Allow only things you know to be safe/intended
- Error or delete anything else
- Short allow-list is easy and relatively easy to secure
- E.g., digits only for non-negative integer
- But, tends to break benign functionality

Poor idea: deny-listing

- Space of possible attacks is endless, don't try to think of them all
- Want to guess how many more comment formats SQL has?
- Particularly silly: deny 1=1

Attacking without the program

- Often web attacks don't get to see the program
 - Not even binary, it's on the server
- Surmountable obstacle:
 - Guess natural names for columns
 - Harvest information from error messages

Blind SQL injection

- Attacking with almost no feedback
- Common: only "error" or "no error"
- One bit channel you can make yourself: if (x) delay 10 seconds
- Trick to remember: go one character at a time

Injection beyond SQL

- Shell commands, format strings, XSS
- XPath/XQuery: queries on XML data
- LDAP: queries used for authentication

Outline

- More cross-site risks, cont'd
- SQL injection
- Confidentiality and privacy
- Even more web risks

Site perspective

- Protect confidentiality of authenticators
 - Passwords, session cookies, CSRF tokens
- Duty to protect some customer info
 - Personally identifying info ("identity theft")
 - Credit-card info (Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards)
 - Health care (HIPAA), education (FERPA)
 - Whatever customers reasonably expect

You need to use SSL

- Finally coming around to view that more sites need to support HTTPS
 - Special thanks to WiFi, NSA
- If you take credit cards (of course)
- If you ask users to log in
 - Must be protecting something, right?
 - Also important for users of Tor et al.

Server-side encryption

- Also consider encrypting data "at rest"
- (Or, avoid storing it at all)
- Provides defense in depth
 - Reduce damage after another attack
- May be hard to truly separate keys
 - OWASP example: public key for website → backend credit card info

Adjusting client behavior

- HTTPS and password fields are basic hints
- Consider disabling autocomplete
 - Usability tradeoff, save users from themselves
 - Finally standardized in HTML5
- Consider disabling caching
 - Performance tradeoff
 - Better not to have this on user's disk
 - Or proxy? You need SSL

User vs. site perspective

- User privacy goals can be opposed to site goals
- Such as in tracking for advertisements
- Browser makers can find themselves in the middle
 - Of course, differ in institutional pressures

Third party content / web bugs

- Much tracking involves sites other than the one in the URL bar
 - For fun, check where your cookies are coming from
- Various levels of cooperation
- Web bugs are typically 1x1 images used only for tracking



Cookies arms race

- Privacy-sensitive users like to block and/or delete cookies
- Sites have various reasons to retain identification
- Various workarounds:
 - Similar features in Flash and HTML5
 - Various channels related to the cache
 - Evercookie: store in n places, regenerate if subset are deleted

Browser fingerprinting

- Combine various server or JS-visible attributes passively
 - User agent string (10 bits)
 - Window/screen size (4.83 bits)
 - Available fonts (13.9 bits)
 - Plugin versions (15.4 bits)

(Data from panopticlick.eff.org, far from exhaustive)

History stealing

- History of what sites you've visited is not supposed to be JS-visible
- But, many side-channel attacks have been possible
 - Query link color
 - CSS style with external image for visited links
 - Slow-rendering timing channel
 - Harvesting bitmaps
 - User perception (e.g. fake CAPTCHA)

Browser and extension choices

- More aggressive privacy behavior lives in extensions
 - Disabling most JavaScript (NoScript)
 - HTTPS Everywhere (centralized list)
 - Tor Browser Bundle
- Default behavior is much more controversial
 - Concern not to kill advertising support as an economic model

Outline

- More cross-site risks, cont'd
- SQL injection
- Confidentiality and privacy
- Even more web risks

Misconfiguration problems

- Default accounts
- Unneeded features
- Framework behaviors
 - Don't automatically create variables from query fields

Openness tradeoffs

- Error reporting
 - Few benign users want to see a stack backtrace
- Directory listings
 - Hallmark of the old days
- Readable source code of scripts
 - Doesn't have your DB password in it, does it?

Using vulnerable components

- Large web apps can use a lot of third-party code
- Convenient for attackers too
 - OWASP: two popular vulnerable components downloaded 22m times
- Hiding doesn't work if it's popular
- Stay up to date on security announcements

Clickjacking

- Fool users about what they're clicking on
 - Circumvent security confirmations
 - Fabricate ad interest
- Example techniques:
 - Frame embedding
 - Transparency
 - Spoof cursor
 - Temporal "bait and switch"

Crawling and scraping

- A lot of web content is free-of-charge, but proprietary
 - Yours in a certain context, if you view ads, etc.
- Sites don't want it downloaded automatically (*web crawling*)
- Or parsed and user for another purpose (*screen scraping*)
- High-rate or honest access detectable