CSci 427IW Development of Secure Software Systems Day 28: Usability examples, crypto failure

Stephen McCamant University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

Outline

Usable security example areas

More causes of crypto failure

Time reserved for SRTs

Email encryption

- Technology became available with PGP in the early 90s
- Classic depressing study: "Why Johnny can't encrypt: a usability evaluation of PGP 5.0" (USENIX Security 1999)
- 🖲 Still an open "challenge problem"
- Also some other non-Ul difficulties: adoption, govt. policy

Phishing

- Attacker sends email appearing to come from an institution you trust
- Links to web site where you type your password, etc.
- Spear phishing. individually targeted, can be much more effective



C Certificate Error: Navigation Blacked
🚱 🕒 = 📘 https://1982.168.21.2/vor.phg
File Edit View Fevorites Tools Help
👷 Favorites 🛛 🙀 🛗 Download Adobe Reade
The security certificate press The security certificate press Security certificate problems server. We recommend that you Click here to dose this w



Modern Firefox warning	
A contract of the state of	

Modern Firefox warning (2)

9 NO (6)/196	568.15.1 • 0])	• Diskousko Q	0 0	+		
0.8.	his Connection is Untrus	ted				I
~ 3	subave asked Firefon to connect securely our connection is secure.	to 192,166.15.1, but we	cen't con	firm the		
	ormaily, when you try to connect securely, rowe that you are going to the right place. I initial.	sites will present truste forwever, this site's iden	d identif Bly cen't	kation/ be	10	I
	/hat Should I Do?					
	you usually connect to this site without promone is trying to impersonate the site.	oblems, this error could ind you shouldn't contin	I mean th	at.		
	Get me out of herei					
	echnical Details					
	Understand the Risks					
	you understand what's going on, you can t intification. Even if you trust the site, t impering with your connection.	all Firefox to start trust his error cauld mean t	ing this s had seen	lor's came is		I
	on't add an exception unless you know the as tracted identification.	re's a good reason why t	this site (feen't		L
	Add teception					
						Л









Permissions manifest

- Can be hard question to answer hypothetically
 Users may have hard time understanding implications
- User choices seem to put low value on privacy







Netscape RNG failure

- Early versions of Netscape SSL (1994-1995) seeded with:
 - Time of day
 - Process ID
 - Parent process ID
- Best case entropy only 64 bits
 - (Not out of step with using 40-bit encryption)
- But worse because many bits guessable

Debian/OpenSSL RNG failure (1)

- OpenSSL has pretty good scheme using /dev/urandom
- Also mixed in some uninitialized variable values "Extra variation can't hurt"
- From modern perspective, this was the original sin Remember undefined behavior discussion?
- But had no immediate ill effects





- 2012: around 1% of the SSL keys on the public net are breakable
 - Some sites share complete keypairs
 - RSA keys with one prime in common (detected by large-scale GCD)
- One likely culprit: insufficient entropy in key generation
 - Embedded devices, Linux / dev/urandom vs. /dev/random
- DSA signature algorithm also very vulnerable



WEP "privacy"

- First WiFi encryption standard: Wired Equivalent Privacy (WEP)
- F&S: designed by a committee that contained no cryptographers
- Problem 1: note "privacy": what about integrity?
 Nope: stream cipher + CRC = easy bit flipping

WEP shared key

Single key known by all parties on network

- 🖲 Easy to compromise
- 🖲 Hard to change
- Also often disabled by default
- 🖲 Example: a previous employer

WEP key size and IV size

Original sizes: 40-bit shared key (export restrictions) plus 24-bit IV = 64-bit RC4 key
Both too small

- 🖲 128-bit upgrade kept 24-bit IV
 - Vague about how to choose IVs
 - Least bad: sequential, collision takes hours
 - Worse: random or everyone starts at zero

WEP RC4 related key attacks

Only true crypto weakness

- RC4 "key schedule" vulnerable when:
 - RC4 keys very similar (e.g., same key, similar IV)
 - First stream bytes used
- Not such a problem for other RC4 users like SSL Key from a hash, skip first output bytes

New problem with WPA (CCS'17)

🖲 Session key set up in a 4-message handshake

- Key reinstallation attack: replay #3
 - Causes most implementations to reset nonce and replay counter
 - In turn allowing many other attacks
 - One especially bad case: reset key to 0
- Protocol state machine behavior poorly described in spec
 - Outside the scope of previous security proofs

Trustworthiness of primitives

- Classic worry: DES S-boxes
- Obviously in trouble if cipher chosen by your adversary
- In a public spec, most worrying are unexplained elements
- Best practice: choose constants from well-known math, like digits of π

Dual_EC_DRBG (1)

- Pseudorandom generator in NIST standard, based on elliptic curve
- Looks like provable (slow enough!) but strangely no proof
- Specification includes long unexplained constants
- Academic researchers find:
 - Some EC parts look good
 - But outputs are statistically distinguishable

Dual_EC_DRBG (2)

Found 2007: special choice of constants allows prediction attacks

Big red flag for paranoid academics

Significant adoption in products sold to US govt. FIPS-140 standards

Semi-plausible rationale from RSA (EMC)

NSA scenario basically confirmed by Snowden leaks

NIST and RSA immediately recommend withdrawal

Outline

Usable security example areas

More causes of crypto failure

Time reserved for SRTs