**Intellectual property**

- Patents: useful inventions, ~20 years
- Copyrights: fixed expressions, ~100 years
- Trademarks: business identifiers, unlimited
- Trade secrets: supplementing contracts, unlimited

**Privacy?**

- No law provides general protection of personal privacy
  - Gap partially filled by agency regulation
- Two major industries have specific laws:
  - FERPA in education
  - HIPAA in health care (the P doesn't stand for privacy)

**CFAA**

- Computer Fraud and Abuse Act of 1986
- Civil and criminal liability for "unauthorized access" to a computer
- Gradually extended to cover any computer, and many related activities
- Potentially applied to any contract or terms-of-service violation
  - Not always successfully

**Example: Randal Schwartz**

- Schwartz worked as a contract sysadmin several Intel divisions
- He ran a password cracking program and moved password files between machines in a division he no longer worked for
- He was convicted of three felonies under an Oregon state law
  - Similar to the CFAA, somewhat more vague

**DMCA**

- Digital Millennium Copyright Act of 1998
- Legally reinforces DRM by criminalizing "circumvention" and tools that perform it
- But, can violate without violating copyright
  - App stores, video game bots, garage door openers
- A narrow exemptions process is growing in application

**Example: Sony BMG “rootkit”**

- In 2005, sold CDs with software that modified a Windows or Mac OS to interfere with copying
- To prevent removal, the software used techniques usually used by malicious software
  - A “rootkit” is backdoor software installed on a compromised machine
  - Common techniques include hiding files and processes
- Led to a recall, class action suits, FTC settlement, etc.
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Process UIDs and `setuid(2)`

- UID is inherited by child processes, and an unprivileged process can't change it
- But there are syscalls root can use to change the UID, starting with `setuid`
- E.g., login program, SSH server

Setuid programs, different UIDs

- If 04000 "setuid" bit set, newly exec'd process will take UID of its file owner
- Other side conditions, like process not traced
- Specifically the effective UID is changed, while the real UID is unchanged
- Shows who called you, allows switching back

More different UIDs

- Two mechanisms for temporary switching:
  - Swap real UID and effective UID (BSD)
  - Remember saved UID, allow switching to it (System V)
- Modern systems support both mechanisms at the same time

Setgid, games

- Setgid bit 02000 mostly analogous to setuid
- But note no supergroup, so UID 0 is still special
- Classic application: setgid games for managing high-score files

Other permission rules

- Only file owner or root can change permissions
- Only root can change file owner
  - Former System V behavior: "give away `chown`"
- Setuid/gid bits cleared on `chown`
  - Set owner first, then enable setuid

Special case: `/tmp`

- We'd like to allow anyone to make files in `/tmp`
- So, everyone should have write permission
- But don't want Alice deleting Bob's files
- Solution: "sticky bit" 01000

Special case: group inheritance

- When using group to manage permissions, want a whole tree to have a single group
- When 02000 bit set, newly created entries with have the parent's group
- (Historic BSD behavior)
- Also, directories will themselves inherit 02000
Two kinds of privilege escalation

- Local exploit: give higher privilege to a regular user
  - E.g., caused by bug in setuid program or OS kernel
- Remote exploit: give access to an external user who doesn't even have an account
  - E.g., caused by bug in network-facing server or client

Shell code injection

- The command shell is convenient to use, especially in scripts
  - In C: `system`, `popen`
- But it is bad to expose the shell's power to an attacker
- Key pitfall: assembling shell commands as strings
- Note: different from binary "shellcode"

Shell code injection example

- Benign: `system("cp $arg1 $arg2")`, `arg1 = "file1.txt"`
- Attack: `arg1 = "a b; echo Gotcha"
- Command: "cp a b; echo Gotcha file2.txt"
- Not a complete solution: prohibit ';' 

The structure problem

- What went wrong here?
- Basic mistake: assuming string concatenation will respect language grammar
  - E.g., that attacker supplied "filename" will be interpreted that way

Best fix: avoiding the shell

- Avoid letting untrusted data get near a shell
- For instance, call external programs with lower-level interfaces
  - E.g., `fork` and `exec` instead of `system`
- May constitute a security/flexibility trade-off
Less reliable: text processing
- Allow-list: known-good characters are allowed, others prohibited
  - Eg., username consists only of letters
  - Safest, but potential functionality cost
- Deny-list: known-bad characters are prohibited, others allowed
  - Easy to miss some bad scenarios
- "Sanitization": transform bad characters into good
  - Same problem as deny-list, plus extra complexity

Terminology note
- Historically the most common terms for allow-list and deny-list have been "whitelist" and "blacklist" respectively
- These terms have been criticized for a problematic "white=good", "black=bad" association
- The push to avoid the terms got significant additional attention in summer 2020, but is still somewhat political and in flux

Different shells and multiple interpretation
- Complex Unix systems include shells at multiple levels, making these issues more complex
  - Frequent example: `scp` runs a shell on the server, so filenames with whitespace need double escaping
- Other shell-like programs also have caveats with levels of interpretation
  - Tcl before version 9 interpreted leading zeros as octal

Related local dangers
- File names might contain any character except / or the null character
- The PATH environment variable is user-controllable, so `cp` may not be the program you expect
- Environment variables controlling the dynamic loader cause other code to be loaded

IFS and why it was a problem
- In Unix, splitting a command line into words is the shell's job
  - String → argv array
  - `grep a b c` vs. `grep 'a b' c`
- Choice of separator characters (default space, tab, newline) is configurable
- Exploit `system("/bin/ uname")`
- In modern shells, improved by not taking from environment