

CSci 4271W  
Development of Secure Software Systems  
Day 17: Web Security 1

Stephen McCamant  
University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

## Outline

- The web from a security perspective
- SQL injection
- Announcements intermission
- Cross-site scripting
- More cross-site risks

## Once upon a time: the static web

- HTTP: stateless file download protocol
  - TCP, usually using port 80
- HTML: markup language for text with formatting and links
- All pages public, so no need for authentication or encryption

## Web applications

- The modern web depends heavily on active software
- Static pages have ads, paywalls, or "Edit" buttons
- Many web sites are primarily forms or storefronts
- Web hosted versions of desktop apps like word processing

## Server programs

- Could be anything that outputs HTML
- In practice, heavy use of databases and frameworks
- Wide variety of commercial, open-source, and custom-written
- Flexible scripting languages for ease of development
  - PHP, Ruby, Perl, etc.

## Client-side programming

- Java: nice language, mostly moved to other uses
- ActiveX: Windows-only binaries, no sandboxing
  - Glad to see it on the way out
- Flash and Silverlight: last important use was DRM-ed video
- Core language: JavaScript

## JavaScript and the DOM

- JavaScript (JS) is a dynamically-typed prototype-OO language
  - No real similarity with Java
- Document Object Model (DOM): lets JS interact with pages and the browser
- Extensive security checks for untrusted-code model

## Same-origin policy

- Origin* is a tuple (scheme, host, port)
  - E.g., (http, www.umn.edu, 80)
- Basic JS rule: interaction is allowed only with the same origin
- Different sites are (mostly) isolated applications

## GET, POST, and cookies

- GET request loads a URL, may have parameters delimited with `?`, `&`, `=`
  - Standard: should not have side-effects
- POST request originally for forms
  - Can be larger, more hidden, have side-effects
- **Cookie**: small token chosen by server, sent back on subsequent requests to same domain

## User and attack models

- "Web attacker" owns their own site (`www.attacker.com`)
  - And users sometimes visit it
  - Realistic reasons: ads, SEO
- "Network attacker" can view and sniff unencrypted data
  - Unprotected coffee shop WiFi

## Outline

The web from a security perspective

SQL injection

Announcements intermission

Cross-site scripting

More cross-site risks

## Relational model and SQL

- Relational databases have *tables* with *rows* and single-typed *columns*
- Used in web sites (and elsewhere) to provide scalable persistent storage
- Allow complex *queries* in a declarative language SQL

## Example SQL queries

- `SELECT name, grade FROM Students WHERE grade < 60 ORDER BY name;`
- `UPDATE Votes SET count = count + 1 WHERE candidate = 'John';`

## Template: injection attacks

- Your program interacts with an interpreted language
- Untrusted data can be passed to the interpreter
- Attack data can break parsing assumptions and execute arbitrary commands

## SQL + injection

- Why is this named most critical web app. risk?
- Easy mistake to make systematically
- Can be easy to exploit
- Database often has high-impact contents
  - E.g., logins or credit cards on commerce site

## Strings do not respect syntax

- Key problem: assembling commands as strings
- `"WHERE name = '$name';"`
- Looks like `$name` is a string
- Try `$name = "me' OR grade > 80; --"`

## Using tautologies

- Tautology: formula that's always true
- Often convenient for attacker to see a whole table
- Classic: OR 1=1

## Non-string interfaces

- Best fix: avoid constructing queries as strings
- SQL mechanism: prepared statement
  - Original motivation was performance
- Web languages/frameworks often provide other syntax

## Retain functionality: escape

- *Sanitizing* data is transforming it to prevent an attack
- *Escaped* data is encoded to match language rules for literal
  - E.g., \" and \n in C
- But many pitfalls for the unwary:
  - Differences in escape syntax between servers
  - Must use right escape for context: not everything's a string

## Lazy sanitization: allow-listing

- Allow only things you know to be safe/intended
- Error or delete anything else
- Short allow-list is easy and relatively easy to secure
- E.g., digits only for non-negative integer
- But, tends to break benign functionality

## Poor idea: deny-listing

- Space of possible attacks is endless, don't try to think of them all
- Want to guess how many more comment formats SQL has?
- Particularly silly: deny 1=1

## Attacking without the program

- Often web attacks don't get to see the program
  - Not even binary, it's on the server
- Surmountable obstacle:
  - Guess natural names for columns
  - Harvest information from error messages

## Blind SQL injection

- Attacking with almost no feedback
- Common: only "error" or "no error"
- One bit channel you can make yourself: if (x) delay 10 seconds
- Trick to remember: go one character at a time

## Injection beyond SQL

- Earlier: shell commands, format strings
- XPath/XQuery: queries on XML data
- LDAP: queries used for authentication
- Next up: XSS

## Outline

The web from a security perspective

SQL injection

Announcements intermission

Cross-site scripting

More cross-site risks

## Note to early readers

- This is the section of the slides most likely to change in the final version
- If class has already happened, make sure you have the latest slides for announcements

## Outline

The web from a security perspective

SQL injection

Announcements intermission

Cross-site scripting

More cross-site risks

## XSS: HTML/JS injection

- Note: CSS is "Cascading Style Sheets"
- Another instance of injection template
- Attacker supplies HTML containing JavaScript (or occasionally CSS)
- OWASP's most prevalent weakness
  - A category unto itself
  - Easy to commit in any dynamic page construction

## Why XSS is bad (and named that)

- `attacker.com` can send you evil JS directly
- But XSS allows access to `bank.com` data
- Violates same-origin policy
- Not all attacks actually involve multiple sites

## Reflected XSS

- Injected data used immediately in producing a page
- Commonly supplied as query/form parameters
- Classic attack is link from evil site to victim site

## Persistent XSS

- Injected data used to produce page later
- For instance, might be stored in database
- Can be used by one site user to attack another user
  - E.g., to gain administrator privilege

## DOM-based XSS

- Injection occurs in client-side page construction
- Flaw at least partially in code running on client
- Many attacks involve mashups and inter-site communication

## No string-free solution

- For server-side XSS, no way to avoid string concatenation
- Web page will be sent as text in the end
- XSS especially hard kind of injection

## Danger: complex language embedding

- JS and CSS are complex languages in their own right
- Can appear in various places with HTML
  - But totally different parsing rules
- Example: ". . ." used for HTML attributes and JS strings
  - What happens when attribute contains JS?

## Danger: forgiving parsers

- History: handwritten HTML, browser competition
- Many syntax mistakes given "likely" interpretations
- Handling of incorrect syntax was not standardized

## Sanitization: plain text only

- Easiest case: no tags intended, insert at document text level
- Escape HTML special characters with *entities* like `&lt;` for `<`
- OWASP recommendation: `& < > " ' /`

## Sanitization: context matters

- An OWASP document lists 5 places in a web page you might insert text
  - For the rest, "don't do that"
- Each one needs a very different kind of escaping

## Sanitization: tag allow-listing

- In some applications, want to allow benign markup like `<b>`
- But, even benign tags can have JS attributes
- Handling well essentially requires an HTML parser
  - But with an adversarial-oriented design

## Don't deny-list

- Browser capabilities continue to evolve
- Attempts to list all bad constructs inevitably incomplete
- Even worse for XSS than other injection attacks

## Filter failure: one-pass delete

- Simple idea: remove all occurrences of `<script>`
- What happens to `<scr<script>ipt>?`

## Filter failure: UTF-7

- You may have heard of UTF-8
  - Encode Unicode as 8-bit bytes
- UTF-7 is similar but uses only ASCII
- Encoding can be specified in a `<meta>` tag, or some browsers will guess
- `+ADw-script+AD4-`

## Filter failure: event handlers

```
<IMG onmouseover="alert('xss')">
```

- Put this on something the user will be tempted to click on
- There are more than 100 handlers like this recognized by various browsers

## Use good libraries

- Coding your own defenses will never work
- Take advantage of known good implementations
- Best case: already built into your framework
  - Disappointingly rare

## Content Security Policy

- Added HTTP header, W3C recommendation
- Lets site opt-in to stricter treatment of embedded content, such as:
  - No inline JS, only loaded from separate URLs
  - Disable JS `eval` et al.
- Has an interesting violation-reporting mode

## Outline

The web from a security perspective

SQL injection

Announcements intermission

Cross-site scripting

More cross-site risks

## HTTP header injection

- Untrusted data included in response headers
- Can include CRLF and new headers, or premature end to headers
- AKA "response splitting"

## Content sniffing

- Browsers determine file type from headers, extension, and content-based guessing
  - Latter two for ~ 1% server errors
- Many sites host "untrusted" images and media
- Inconsistencies in guessing lead to a kind of XSS
  - E.g., "chimera" PNG-HTML document

## Cross-site request forgery

- Certain web form on `bank.com` used to wire money
- Link or script on `evil.com` loads it with certain parameters
  - Linking is exception to same-origin
- If I'm logged in, money sent automatically

## CSRF prevention

- Give site's forms random-nonce tokens
  - E.g., in POST hidden fields
  - Not in a cookie, that's the whole point
- Reject requests without proper token
  - Or, ask user to re-authenticate
- XSS can be used to steal CSRF tokens

## Open redirects

- Common for one page to redirect clients to another
- Target should be validated
  - With authentication check if appropriate
- *Open redirect*: target supplied in parameter with no checks
  - Doesn't directly hurt the hosting site
  - But reputation risk, say if used in phishing
  - We teach users to trust by site