

# EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

---

- Background on eigenvalues/ eigenvectors / decompositions
- Perturbation analysis, condition numbers..
- Power method
- The QR algorithm
- Practical QR algorithms: use of Hessenberg form and shifts
- The symmetric eigenvalue problem.

## *Eigenvalue Problems. Introduction*

Let  $A$  an  $n \times n$  real nonsymmetric matrix. The eigenvalue problem:

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

$\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  : eigenvalue

$x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  : eigenvector

### *Types of Problems:*

- Compute a few  $\lambda_i$  's with smallest or largest real parts;
- Compute all  $\lambda_i$ 's in a certain region of  $\mathbb{C}$ ;
- Compute a few of the dominant eigenvalues;
- Compute all  $\lambda_i$ 's.

## *Eigenvalue Problems. Their origins*

- Structural Engineering [ $Ku = \lambda Mu$ ]
- Stability analysis [e.g., electrical networks, mechanical system,..]
- Bifurcation analysis [e.g., in fluid flow]
- Electronic structure calculations [Schrödinger equation..]
- Application of new era: page ranking on the world-wide web.

## Basic definitions and properties

A complex scalar  $\lambda$  is called an **eigenvalue** of a square matrix  $A$  if there exists a nonzero vector  $u$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $Au = \lambda u$ . The vector  $u$  is called an **eigenvector** of  $A$  associated with  $\lambda$ . The set of all eigenvalues of  $A$  is the '**spectrum**' of  $A$ . Notation:  $\Lambda(A)$ .

- $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue iff the columns of  $A - \lambda I$  are linearly dependent.
- ... equivalent to saying that its rows are linearly dependent. So: there is a nonzero vector  $w$  such that

$$w^H (A - \lambda I) = 0$$

- $w$  is a **left** eigenvector of  $A$  ( $u =$  **right** eigenvector)
- $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue iff  $\boxed{\det(A - \lambda I) = 0}$

## *Basic definitions and properties (cont.)*

- An eigenvalue is a root of the **Characteristic polynomial**:

$$p_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$$

- So there are  $n$  eigenvalues (counted with their multiplicities).
- The multiplicity of these eigenvalues as roots of  $p_A$  are called **algebraic multiplicities**.
- The **geometric multiplicity** of an eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  is the number of linearly independent eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda_i$ .

- Geometric multiplicity is  $\leq$  algebraic multiplicity.
- An eigenvalue is **simple** if its (algebraic) multiplicity is one.
- It is **semi-simple** if its geometric and algebraic multiplicities are equal.

1 Consider

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Eigenvalues of  $A$ ? their algebraic multiplicities? their geometric multiplicities? Is one a semi-simple eigenvalue?

2 Same questions if  $a_{33}$  is replaced by one.

3 Same questions if, in addition,  $a_{12}$  is replaced by zero.

- Two matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are **similar** if there exists a nonsingular matrix  $X$  such that

$$A = XBX^{-1}$$

- $Av = \lambda v \iff B(X^{-1}v) = \lambda(X^{-1}v)$   
eigenvalues remain the same, eigenvectors transformed.
- Issue: find  $X$  so that  $B$  has a simple structure

**Definition:**  $A$  is **diagonalizable** if it is similar to a diagonal matrix

- THEOREM: A matrix is diagonalizable iff it has  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors
- ... **iff** all its eigenvalues are semi-simple
- ... **iff** its eigenvectors form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$

## Transformations that preserve eigenvectors

**Shift**       $B = A - \sigma I: Av = \lambda v \iff Bv = (\lambda - \sigma)v$   
eigenvalues move, eigenvectors remain the same.

**Poly-  
nomial**       $B = p(A) = \alpha_0 I + \dots + \alpha_n A^n: Av = \lambda v \iff$   
 $Bv = p(\lambda)v$   
eigenvalues transformed, eigenvectors remain the same.

**Invert**       $B = A^{-1}: Av = \lambda v \iff Bv = \lambda^{-1}v$   
eigenvalues inverted, eigenvectors remain the same.

**Shift &  
Invert**       $B = (A - \sigma I)^{-1}: Av = \lambda v \iff Bv =$   
 $(\lambda - \sigma)^{-1}v$   
eigenvalues transformed, eigenvectors remain the same.  
spacing between eigenvalues can be radically changed.



➤ THEOREM (Schur form): Any matrix is unitarily similar to a triangular matrix, i.e., for any  $A$  there exists a unitary matrix  $Q$  and an upper triangular matrix  $R$  such that


$$A = QRQ^H$$

➤ Any Hermitian matrix is unitarily similar to a **real diagonal** matrix, (i.e. its Schur form is real diagonal).

➤ It is easy to read off the eigenvalues (including all the multiplicities) from the triangular matrix  $R$

➤ Eigenvectors can be obtained by back-solving

## Schur Form – Proof


4 Show that there is at least one eigenvalue and eigenvector of  $A$ :  $Ax = \lambda x$ , with  $\|x\|_2 = 1$

5 There is a unitary transformation  $P$  such that  $Px = e_1$ . How do you define  $P$ ?

6 Show that  $PAP^H = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \lambda & ** \\ \hline 0 & A_2 \end{array} \right)$ .

7 Apply process recursively to  $A_2$ .

8 What happens if  $A$  is Hermitian?

9 Another proof altogether: use Jordan form of  $A$  and QR factorization

## *Perturbation analysis*

- General questions: If  $A$  is perturbed how does an eigenvalue change? How about an eigenvector?
- Also: sensitivity of an eigenvalue to perturbations

### THEOREM [Gerschgorin]

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda(A), \quad \exists i \text{ such that } |\lambda - a_{ii}| \leq \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^{j=n} |a_{ij}| .$$

- In words: eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is located in one of the closed discs of the complex plane centered at  $a_{ii}$  and with radius  $\rho_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$ .

**Proof:** By contradiction. If contrary is true then there is one eigenvalue  $\lambda$  that does not belong to any of the disks, i.e., such that  $|\lambda - a_{ii}| > \rho_i$  for all  $i$ . Write matrix  $A - \lambda I$  as:


$$A - \lambda I = D - \lambda I - [D - A] \equiv (D - \lambda I) - F$$

where  $D$  is the diagonal of  $A$  and  $-F = -(D - A)$  is the matrix of off-diagonal entries. Now write

$$A - \lambda I = (D - \lambda I)(I - (D - \lambda I)^{-1}F).$$

From assumptions we have  $\|(D - \lambda I)^{-1}F\|_\infty < 1$ . (**Show this**). The Lemma in P. 5-3 of notes would then show that  $A - \lambda I$  is nonsingular – a contradiction  $\square$

## Gerschgorin's theorem - example

 10 Find a region of the complex plane where the eigenvalues of the following matrix are located:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 & -3 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Refinement: if disks are all disjoint then each of them contains one eigenvalue
- Refinement: can combine row and column version of the theorem (column version: apply theorem to  $A^H$ ).

## Bauer-Fike theorem

THEOREM [Bauer-Fike] Let  $\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{u}$  be an approximate eigenpair with  $\|\tilde{u}\|_2 = 1$ , and let  $r = A\tilde{u} - \tilde{\lambda}\tilde{u}$  ('residual vector'). Assume  $A$  is diagonalizable:  $A = XDX^{-1}$ , with  $D$  diagonal. Then

$$\exists \lambda \in \Lambda(A) \quad \text{such that} \quad |\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \leq \text{cond}_2(X) \|r\|_2 .$$

- Very restrictive result - also not too sharp in general.
- Alternative formulation. If  $E$  is a perturbation to  $A$  then for any eigenvalue  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $A + E$  there is an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $A$  such that:

$$|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}| \leq \text{cond}_2(X) \|E\|_2 .$$



Prove this result from the previous one.

## Conditioning of Eigenvalues

- Assume that  $\lambda$  is a simple eigenvalue with right and left eigenvectors  $u$  and  $w^H$  respectively. Consider the matrices:

$$A(t) = A + tE$$

Eigenvalue  $\lambda(t)$ ,  
Eigenvector  $u(t)$ .

- Conditioning of  $\lambda$  of  $A$  relative to  $E$  is  $\left| \frac{d\lambda(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=0}$ .

- Write  $A(t)u(t) = \lambda(t)u(t)$

- Then multiply both sides to the left by  $w^H$

$$\begin{aligned} w^H (A + tE)u(t) &= \lambda(t)w^H u(t) \quad \rightarrow \\ \lambda(t)w^H u(t) &= w^H A u(t) + t w^H E u(t) \\ &= \lambda w^H u(t) + t w^H E u(t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\lambda(t) - \lambda}{t} w^H u(t) = w^H E u(t)$$

- Take the limit at  $t = 0$ ,

$$\lambda'(0) = \frac{w^H E u}{w^H u}$$

- Note: the left and right eigenvectors associated with a simple eigenvalue cannot be orthogonal to each other.
- Actual conditioning of an eigenvalue, given a perturbation “in the direction of  $E$ ” is  $|\lambda'(0)|$ .
- In practice only estimate of  $\|E\|$  is available, so

$$|\lambda'(0)| \leq \frac{\|Eu\|_2 \|w\|_2}{|(u, w)|} \leq \|E\|_2 \frac{\|u\|_2 \|w\|_2}{|(u, w)|}$$



**Definition.** The condition number of a simple eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of an arbitrary matrix  $A$  is defined by

$$\text{cond}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\cos \theta(u, w)}$$

in which  $u$  and  $w^H$  are the right and left eigenvectors, respectively, associated with  $\lambda$ .

**Example:** Consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -149 & -50 & -154 \\ 537 & 180 & 546 \\ -27 & -9 & -25 \end{pmatrix}$$

- $\Lambda(A) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Right and left eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda_1 = 1$ :

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3162 \\ -0.9487 \\ 0.0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0.6810 \\ 0.2253 \\ 0.6967 \end{pmatrix}$$

So:

$$\text{cond}(\lambda_1) \approx 603.64$$

- Perturbing  $a_{11}$  to  $-149.01$  yields the spectrum:

$$\{0.2287, 3.2878, 2.4735\}.$$

- as expected..
- For Hermitian (also normal matrices) every simple eigenvalue is well-conditioned, since  $\text{cond}(\lambda) = 1$ .

## Perturbations with Multiple Eigenvalues - Example

$$\text{➤ } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I_3 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = I + 2J$$

➤ Worst case perturbation is in 3,1 position: set  $J_{31} = \epsilon$ .

➤ Eigenvalues of perturbed  $A$  are the roots of

$$p(\mu) = (\mu - 1)^3 - 4 \cdot \epsilon.$$

➤ Hence eigenvalues of perturbed  $A$  are  $1 + O(\sqrt[3]{\epsilon})$ .

➤ In general, if index of eigenvalue (dimension of largest Jordan block) is  $k$ , then an  $O(\epsilon)$  perturbation to  $A$  can lead to  $O(\sqrt[k]{\epsilon})$  change in eigenvalue. Simple eigenvalue case corresponds to  $k = 1$ .

## Basic algorithm: The power method

- Basic idea is to generate the sequence of vectors  $A^k v_0$  where  $v_0 \neq 0$  – then normalize.
- Most commonly used normalization: ensure that the largest component of the approximation is equal to one.

### The Power Method

1. Choose a nonzero initial vector  $v^{(0)}$ .
2. For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , until convergence, Do:
3.  $v^{(k)} = \frac{1}{\alpha_k} A v^{(k-1)}$  where
4.  $\alpha_k = \operatorname{argmax}_{i=1, \dots, n} |(A v^{(k-1)})_i|$
5. EndDo

- $\operatorname{argmax}_{i=1, \dots, n} |x_i| \equiv$  the component  $x_i$  with largest modulus

## Convergence of the power method

**THEOREM** Assume there is one eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of  $A$ , s.t.  $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_j|$ , for  $j \neq i$ , and that  $\lambda_1$  is semi-simple. Then either the initial vector  $v^{(0)}$  has no component in  $\text{Null}(A - \lambda_1 I)$  or  $v^{(k)}$  converges to an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_1$  and  $\alpha_k \rightarrow \lambda_1$ .

Proof in the diagonalizable case.

➤  $v^{(k)}$  is = vector  $A^k v^{(0)}$  normalized by a certain scalar  $\hat{\alpha}_k$  in such a way that its largest component is 1.

➤ Decompose initial vector  $v^{(0)}$  in the eigenbasis as:

$$v^{(0)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i u_i$$

➤ Each  $u_i$  is an eigenvector associated with  $\lambda_i$ .

- Note that  $A^k u_i = \lambda_i^k u_i$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{\text{scaling}} \times \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k \gamma_i u_i \\
 &= \frac{1}{\text{scaling}} \times \left[ \lambda_1^k \gamma_1 u_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^k \gamma_i u_i \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{\text{scaling}'} \times \left[ u_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \left( \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_1} \right)^k \frac{\gamma_i}{\gamma_1} u_i \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

- Second term inside bracket converges to zero. QED
- Proof suggests that the convergence factor is given by

$$\rho_D = \frac{|\lambda_2|}{|\lambda_1|}$$

where  $\lambda_2$  is the second largest eigenvalue in modulus.

**Example:** Consider a 'Markov Chain' matrix of size  $n = 55$ . Dominant eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\lambda = -1$  ➤ the power method applied directly to  $A$  fails. (Why?)

➤ We can consider instead the matrix  $I + A$  The eigenvalue  $\lambda = 1$  is then transformed into the (only) dominant eigenvalue  $\lambda = 2$

Iteration	Norm of diff.	Res. norm	Eigenvalue
20	0.639D-01	0.276D-01	1.02591636
40	0.129D-01	0.513D-02	1.00680780
60	0.192D-02	0.808D-03	1.00102145
80	0.280D-03	0.121D-03	1.00014720
100	0.400D-04	0.174D-04	1.00002078
120	0.562D-05	0.247D-05	1.00000289
140	0.781D-06	0.344D-06	1.00000040
161	0.973D-07	0.430D-07	1.00000005

## The Shifted Power Method

➤ In previous example shifted  $A$  into  $B = A + I$  before applying power method. We could also iterate with  $B(\sigma) = A + \sigma I$  for any positive  $\sigma$

**Example:** With  $\sigma = 0.1$  we get the following improvement.

Iteration	Norm of diff.	Res. Norm	Eigenvalue
20	0.273D-01	0.794D-02	1.00524001
40	0.729D-03	0.210D-03	1.00016755
60	0.183D-04	0.509D-05	1.00000446
80	0.437D-06	0.118D-06	1.00000011
88	0.971D-07	0.261D-07	1.00000002



- **Question:** What is the best **shift-of-origin**  $\sigma$  to use?
- Easy to answer the question when all eigenvalues are real.


Assume all eigenvalues are real and labeled decreasingly:

$$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n,$$

Then: If we shift  $A$  to  $A - \sigma I$ :

The shift  $\sigma$  that yields the best convergence factor is:

$$\sigma_{opt} = \frac{\lambda_2 + \lambda_n}{2}$$

 Plot a typical function  $\phi(\sigma) = \rho(A - \sigma I)$  as a function of  $\sigma$ . Determine the minimum value and prove the above result.

## Inverse Iteration

**Observation:** The eigenvectors of  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  are identical.

- Idea: use the power method on  $A^{-1}$ .
- Will compute the eigenvalues closest to zero.
- **Shift-and-invert** Use power method on  $(A - \sigma I)^{-1}$ .
- will compute eigenvalues closest to  $\sigma$ .
- Rayleigh-Quotient Iteration: use  $\sigma = \frac{v^T A v}{v^T v}$   
(best approximation to  $\lambda$  given  $v$ ).
- Advantages: fast convergence in general.
- Drawbacks: need to factor  $A$  (or  $A - \sigma I$ ) into LU.