CSci 5271 Introduction to Computer Security Day 11: Side Channels and Transient Execution Stephen McCamant University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

### Outline

#### Side and covert channels

Transient execution

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Meltdown

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Spectre

Fixes, lessons learned, and the future

### Analog vs. digital side channels

- A side channel is an unexpected way in which a system reveals information, different from how information is intentionally output
- Analog side channels are mediated by the physical world outside the machine, e.g.:
  - Sound of the hard-disk running
  - Power usage
- Digital side channels reveal information while staying inside the computer abstraction, e.g.:
  - You can't read a file, but the error message reveals that it exists
  - Running time of an operation depends on what else is running

#### Side channels vs. covert channels

- In a side channel, information is revealed from an unsuspecting victim.
   Sound of many people erasing indicates that an exam question is difficult
   In a covert channel, the source of the information is working together with the receiver to transmit it when they shouldn't.
   Cough once if the answer is "true", twice if it is "false"
- Often the channel itself is the same, it just differs how you use it And not everyone is careful about this distinction

### "Architectural"

- An instruction-set architecture (ISA) is an abstraction that hides details Above the line: programmer visible state
  - Below the line, pipelining, caches, etc.
- Another form of this terminology distinction you will hear is:
  - "Architectural" means the above-the-line view
  - "Micro-architectural" means the below-the-line view
- If information is available only because of a micro-architectural behavior, that's likely a side channel

### Cache timing side channels

Micro-architectural side channels are a problem of growing concern recently Maybe the worst in terms of being pervasive and high-bandwidth is the timing

- of cache operations • Every memory access uses caches
  - Cache performance is based on history of previous operations
  - Caches hold everyone's data without separation
  - The speed of operations is easy to measure
- Basic idea: timing how long my memory accesses take reveals information about your memory accesses
- Directly reveals only addresses, not data contents

### Secret information in addresses

- The addresses of instruction accesses reveal what code your programming is running
  - The grading function might have a branch that is only taken when a student qualifies for extra credit
- The addresses of data accesses reveal what data your program is accessing Converting a numeric grade into a letter grade might use an array indexed by numeric grade
- Often the most practically important victims are functions for encrypting data based on a small secret key
  - Square-multiply algorithm in RSA depends on key bits
  - ${\scriptstyle \bullet}$  AES implementation uses a "T table" indexed based on unencrypted bytes and key

# Example techinque: "prime + probe"

- 1. Attacker does a lot of memory accesses to fill up the cache with its own data ("prime")
- 2. Wait and let the victim perform a memory access of its own
- The attacker retries accessing all of its data, and measures how long the accesses take ("probe")
- If one of the pieces of the attacker's data is slow to access, that indicates that it had been evicted to replace it with some of the victim's data

#### Cache covert channel sender

In a covert channel, you can design a memory access to maximize cache information leakage

int array[1024]; int secret = get\_secret(); array[secret \* 16]++;

- Multiplying by 16 ensures that each different secret value indexes a different 64-byte cache block
  - Commonly the channel does not reveal the offset within a block

### Outline

Side and covert channels

Transient execution

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Meltdown

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Spectre

Fixes, lessons learned, and the future

### Transient execution: basic idea

- There are several micro-architectural reasons why the CPU might do some steps of execution of instructions, but ultimately discard them
  - Instruction executions that do not architecturally matter are called "transient" or "speculative"
- Transient instructions have no architectural effect. But if they have a micro-architectural effect, that can be a side/covert channel
- This leads to some surprising vulnerabilities that were discovered in 2017

### Reasons for transient execution

Out-of-order execution

- CPU starts executing instructions out of order, when their input data is ready
   Late recognition of exceptions
- A CPU may not decide that an instruction will raise an exception until it is retired Branch prediction
  - CPU guesses which side of a branch will be taken, and starts speculatively executing it before the branch condition is evaluated

### Transient execution and memory

Transient execution includes speculative loads from memory Important for performance, similar to pre-fetching

- Transient stores generally not sent outside the processor core
  - . Less important for performance, since stores don't have many dependencies
  - Stores will be buffered and sent to cache and memory on retirement
  - Transient stores can affect transient loads via store-to-load forwarding
- Exceptions from transient accesses are ignored

## Outline

Side and covert channels

Transient execution

#### Transient execution and kernel isolation: Meltdown

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Spectre

Fixes, lessons learned, and the future

# Kernel and user memory

- In many recent systems, kernel and user memory live in the same virtual address space
  - E.g., x86-32 Linux used low 3GB for user, top 1G for kernel
  - Makes it easier for kernel to transfer data to/from processes
     No need to flush the TLB when making a system call
- Kernel/user separation still important for security Kernel can hold system secrets, and other users' data
  - Remer can now system secrets, and other users use
- A bit in the page table entry ("U/S" on x86) distinguishes which pages are for kernel only
  - Attempted access to kernel data from user program leads to page fault

# Timing of a page fault

- Problem: the page fault is often recognized not when the access occurs, but when the faulting instruction retires
- Instructions after an illegal kernel memory access will be transient
- But, these transient instructions can still have micro-architectural effects
   Attack idea:
  - Write cache covert channel code using the result of a faulting kernel memory access
  - ${\scriptstyle \textcircled{\tiny \bullet}}$  Recover from the fault, and then look for the side effect of the transient access in the cache

#### Meltdown attack structure

int array[1024];
prime\_cache(array);
int secret = \*kernel\_mem\_ptr;
array[secret \* 16]++; /\* transient only \*/
/\* recover here after segfault \*/
probe\_cache(array);

- You might be surprised that this works: so were the people who first found it in 2017 This version affects Intel processors but not AMD-compatible ones
- Ethics note: don't try something like this on a lab machine
   Violates Labs and University rules, might hurt other users
   (Also, probably patched by now.)

### Outline

Side and covert channels

Transient execution

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Meltdown

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Spectre

Fixes, lessons learned, and the future

### Example: JavaScript bounds check

- Your web browser runs JavaScript code from untrusted sources like advertisers, so must enforce security at runtime
- For instance, JavaScript arrays have runtime bounds checks in the C implementation

```
if (index < 0 || index > ary_size) {
    raise_js_error();
} else {
    void *value = raw_array[index];
    /* ... */
}
```

### Branch prediction and bounds check

```
if (index < 0 || index > ary_size)
    { raise_js_error(); }
else { void *value = raw_array[index]; /* ... */ }
```

Branch prediction helps ensure the cost of check is low

 Benign JS code will only access indexes in bounds
 Branch will be predicted in-bounds
 Execution can continue beyond the check

 Architecturally, the check is still enforced

 Out of bounds access will mean prediction is incorrect, discarded
 Okay for buggy JS code to run slower

### Dangers of branch speculation

- Problem: code executed after the mis-speculated branch could still have a micro-architectural effect
- For instance, leaking information via a cache access
- The protection being subverted here is JavaScript's
  - For instance, attacker could read data elsewhere in the web browser, like your banking password in another tab
- Real JavaScript engines are just-in-time compilers, which actually makes the attack easier

#### Example Spectre JS attack

- if (index < simpleByteArray.length) {
   index = simpleByteArray[index | 0];
   index = (((index \* 4096)|0) & (32\*1024\*1024-1))|0;
   localJunk = probeTable[index|0]|0;
  } /\* Kocher et al. Listing 2, for Chrome 62 \*/</pre>
  - "|0" is a hint to JavaScript to compile into integers
  - First do many examples with index in bounds for simpleByteArray, then one when it is out of bounds
  - Multiplication by 4096 is similar to multiplication by 16 in earlier examples
  - The JIT compiler determines it doesn't need any other bounds checks

### Other malicious branch training

Similar attacks are possible even when the attacker doesn't control earlier executions of the vulnerable branch (e.g., a branch in another process)
 Just need to mis-train the processor to trigger a chosen prediction

 Branch prediction uses cache-like structures that are susceptible to collisions
 Can even make an indirect jump go to an instruction of attacker's choosing

#### Outline

Side and covert channels

Transient execution

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Meltdown

Transient execution and kernel isolation: Spectre

Fixes, lessons learned, and the future

# Brief history of Meltdown and Spectre

### Attacks were discovered independently in 2017

- By both academic and industry researchers
- There was also a public blog post about a similar idea that didn't work
- Long "responsible disclosure" period while software and hardware makers worked on defenses
  - Including Linux switching to separating kernel address spaces
- Announcement date moved up to January 3rd, 2018 as information started to leak out via media sources
- Discovery of variants and developing protections are ongoing today

### Classification of known attacks



#### Software patches

- Meltdown: keep kernel address space separate Made default in Linux and Windows in late 2017
- Spectre: various
  - Chrome puts tabs in separate processes
  - Special compilation techniques can frustrate branch prediction
- Software patches tend to be incomplete
  - New attack variants have required new defenses
  - But, important for fast reaction
- So far (fingers crossed), these attacks have been blocked before being widely exploited

### Takeaways

- Computer system design is challenging because what's below the abstract barrier can end up mattering a lot
- Problems can arise from unusual combinations of existing features
- We want to improve performance, but breaking security or correctness is usually going too far
- Hardware architects need better ways to assess the security impacts of micro-architectural decisions

### Hardware fixes

Best fixes are at the CPU design level, but this is a long process

- Common so far: microcode patches
  - CPU vendors take advantage of existing configurability mechanisms to block some attacks
  - $\blacksquare$  Sometimes takes form of optional security checks enabled by the OS, at a performance cost

Deeper micro-architectural changes will allow protection with less overhead But transient execution is widespread and critical for performance, so how to strike the best balance is a complex problem