CSci 4271W Development of Secure Software Systems Day 9: Threat modeling, defenses

Stephen McCamant University of Minnesota, Computer Science & Engineering

ROP mprotect example

I'll show this in Inkscape

Outline

ROP exercise final followup

Threat modeling: printer manager

Return address protections

ASLR and counterattacks

Outline

ROP exercise final followup

Threat modeling: printer manager

Return address protections

ASLR and counterattacks

Setting: shared lab with printer

Imagine a scenario similar to CSE Labs

 Computer labs used by many people, with administrators

 Target for modeling: software system used to manage printing

 Similar to real system, but use your imagination for unknown details

Data flow diagram

- Show structure of users, software/hardware components, data flows, and trust boundaries
- For this exercise, can mix software, OS, and network perspectives
- Include details relevant to security design decisions
- Take 15 minutes to draw with your neighbors

STRIDE threat brainstorming

- Think about possible threats using the STRIDE classification
- Are all six types applicable in this example?
- Take 10 minutes to brainstorm with your neighbors

Outline

ROP exercise final followup

Threat modeling: printer manager

Return address protections

ASLR and counterattacks



- Value hard to reproduce because it would tell the copy to stop
 StackGuard: 0x00 0D 0A FF
 String functions
 - newline: fgets(), etc.
 - -1: getc()
 - carriage return: similar to newline?
- 🖲 Doesn't stop: memcpy, custom loops



XOR canary

- Want to protect against non-sequential overwrites
- XOR return address with value c at entry
- XOR again with c before return
- Standard choice for c: see random canary

Further refinements



What's usually not protected?

- Backwards overflows
- Function pointers
- Adjacent structure fields
- Adjacent static data objects

Where to keep canary value

- 🖲 Fast to access
- Buggy code/attacker can't read or write
- **⑤ Linux/x86**: %gs:0x14

Complex anti-canary attack

Canary not updated on fork in server
Attacker controls number of bytes overwritten

Complex anti-canary attack

Canary not updated on fork in server
 Attacker controls number of bytes overwritten
 ANRY BNRY CNRY DNRY ENRY FNRY
 search 2³² → search 4 · 2⁸

Shadow return stack

- Suppose you have a safe place to store the canary
- Mhy not just store the return address there?
- 🖲 Needs to be a separate stack
- Ultimate return address protection

Outline

ROP exercise final followup

Threat modeling: printer manager

Return address protections

ASLR and counterattacks

Basic idea

 "Address Space Layout Randomization"
 Move memory areas around randomly so attackers can't predict addresses
 Keep internal structure unchanged

E.g., whole stack moves together

Code and data locations

Execution of code depends on memory location

🖲 E.g., on x86-64:

- Direct jumps are relative
- Function pointers are absolute
- Data can be relative (%rip-based addressing)

Relocation (Windows)

Extension of technique already used in compilation

- Keep table of absolute addresses, instructions on how to update
- Disadvantage: code modifications take time on load, prevent sharing

PIC/PIE (GNU/Linux)

- "Position-Independent Code / Executable"
- Keep code unchanged, use register to point to data area
- Disadvantage: code complexity, register pressure hurt performance (especially 32-bit)

What's not covered

Main executable (Linux PIC)

- Incompatible DLLs (Windows)
- Relative locations within a module/area

Entropy limitations

- Intuitively, entropy measures amount of randomness, in bits
- Random 32-bit int: 32 bits of entropy
- ASLR page aligned, so at most 32 12 = 20 bits of entropy
- Other constraints further reduce possibilities

Leakage limitations

- If an attacker learns the randomized base address, can reconstruct other locations
- **O** Any stack address \rightarrow stack unprotected, etc.