#### THE LU FACTORIZATION [2.5]

 $LU\ factorization:\ Motivation$ 

> Suppose we have to solve many linear systems

$$Ax = b^{(1)}, \quad Ax = b^{(2)}, \quad \cdots, \quad Ax = b^{(p)}$$

where matrix  $oldsymbol{A}$  is the same - but the right-hand sides are different

- ightharpoonup Can solve each of them by Gaussian Elimination separately ightharpoonup inefficient
- Cost?
- igwedge Can get the inverse  $A^{-1}$  then each solution is of the form  $x^{(k)}=A^{-1}b^{(k)}$
- Cost? [Using method based on rref seen in Lec. Notes 8]

9-2 Text: 2.5 – LU

9-2

9-1

- There is a 3rd option (Best): Exploit "LU factorization of A"
- Main result is this:

Gaussian elimination algorithm can provide as a by-product a \*factorization\* of A into the product of a lower triangular matrix L with ones on the diagonal, and an upper triangular matrix U:

$$A = LU$$

➤ In addition:

This factorization is obtained at virtually no extra cost.

How would you solve systems with multiple right-hand sides using this? What does this approach cost?

Next: The LU factorization. Where does it come from and how to get it?

# $LU\ factorization\ -\ Revisiting\ GE$

➤ We now ignore the right-hand side in GE

Recall: Gaussian elimination amounts to performing n-1 successive Gaussian transformations, i.e., multiplications (to the left) by elementary matrices that have ones on the diagonal and the negatives of the multipliers in the column being eliminated.

ightharpoonup Set  $A_0 \equiv A$ . Then – results of the n-1 steps:

$$A_1 = E_1 A_0 \ A_2 = E_2 A_1 = E_2 E_1 A_0 \ A_3 = E_3 A_2 = E_3 E_2 E_1 A_0 \ \cdots = \cdots \ A_{n-1} = E_{n-1} E_{n-2} \cdots E_2 E_1 A_0$$

9-3 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Text: 2.5 – LU

Text: 25 – 111

- $ightharpoonup A_{n-1} \equiv U$  is an upper triangular matrix.
- $\blacktriangleright$  We have  $U=E_{n-1}E_{n-2}\cdots E_2E_1A$  or :

$$A = \underbrace{E_1^{-1} E_2^{-1} E_3^{-1} \cdots E_{n-1}^{-1}}_{L} U \equiv LU$$

- $ightharpoonup E_1, E_2, \cdots, E_{n-1}$  are all lower triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal.
- Mhat is the inverse of a matrix  $E_j$ ?
- $\blacktriangleright$  Each  $E_i^{-1}$  is lower triangular with ones on the diagonal.
- Show that the product of unit lower triangular matrices is unit lower triangular.
- $ightharpoonup L=E_1^{-1}E_2^{-1}E_3^{-1}\cdots E_{n-1}^{-1}$  is lower triangular
- ➤ L has ones on the diagonal.

-5 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Text: 2.5 -

- $m{A} = m{L}m{U}$  with:  $m{L} = m{E}_1^{-1}m{E}_2^{-1}m{E}_3^{-1} \cdots m{E}_{n-1}^{-1}$   $m{U} = m{A}_{n-1}$
- $\triangleright$  Called the LU decomposition (or factorization) of A.

### Notes:

- ightharpoonup L is Lower triangular, and has ones on the diagonal We say that it is unit lower triangular
- ightharpoonup U is the last matrix into which A is transformed from Gaussian elimination. It is *upper triangular*.
- $\triangleright$  We know how to get U [last matrix in GE]
- $\triangleright$  The main issue now is: How can we get L?

9-6 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Text: 2.5 – LU

1-6

## How do we get L?

- lacksquare Could we use:  $oldsymbol{L} = oldsymbol{E}_1^{-1} oldsymbol{E}_2^{-1} oldsymbol{E}_3^{-1} \cdots oldsymbol{E}_{n-1}^{-1}$  ? Too complex!
- ➤ There is a simpler way:

Theorem. Assume that Gaussian elimination can terminate (no division by zero) and let U be the final triangular matrix obtained and L the lower triangular matrix with  $l_{ii}=1$ , and, for i>k,  $l_{ik}=piv_{ik}$ , the multiplier used to eliminate row i in step k. Then: A=LU.

- $m > l_{kk} = 1$  and for i 
  eq k,  $l_{ik} =$  multiplier  $a_{ik}/a_{kk}$  at k-th step of GE.
- The matrix A is the product of a unit lower triangular matrix L and an upper triangular matrix U.

# LU factorization - an example

Example: Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Step 1 of GE uses the multipliers  $l_{21} = -1/2$ ,  $l_{31} = 1/2$ .

What is the matrix  $E_1$  in this case?

Resulting matrix:  $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$ 

Step 2 of Gaussian Elimination uses the multiplier  $l_{32}=1$ .

ightharpoonup What is the matrix  $E_2$  ?

9-8 Text: 2.5 – LU

- lacksquare Resulting matrix  $egin{array}{cccc} A_2 = egin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \ 0 & 4 & 4 \ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \equiv oldsymbol{U}$
- Thus:  $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1/2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$   $U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$
- lacksquare Verify that  $m{A} = m{L}m{U}$
- LU factorization of the matrix  $A=egin{pmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \ 1 & 5 & 9 \ 1 & 0 & -12 \end{pmatrix}$
- For the same A compute the 3rd column of  $A^{-1}$ .

- How would you compute the inverse of a matrix given its LU factorization?
- Show how to use the LU factorization to solve linear systems with the same matrix A and different right-hand sides b.
- True or false: "Computing the LU factorization of a matrix  $\boldsymbol{A}$  involves more arithmetic operations than solving a linear system  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{b}$  by Gaussian elimination"?

9-9 \_\_\_\_\_\_ Text: 2.5 – L

9-9

0 Text: 2.5 – LU

9-10