Krylov subspace methods

- Introduction to Krylov subspace techniques
- FOM, GMRES, practical details.
- Symmetric case: Conjugate gradient
- See Chapter 6 of text for details.

## Motivation

One-dimensional projection techniques:

$$x_{new} = x + lpha d$$

where d = a certain direction.

- $\succ \alpha$  is defined to optimize a certain function.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Equivalently: determine  $\alpha$  by an orthogonality constraint

In MR:

Example

$$x(\alpha) = x + \alpha d$$
, with  $d = b - Ax$ .


 $\min_{lpha} \|b - Ax(lpha)\|_2$  reached iff  $b - Ax(lpha) \perp r$ 

One-dimensional projection methods are greedy methods. They are 'short-sighted'.



Recall in Steepest Descent: New direction of search  $\tilde{r}$  is  $\perp$  to old direction of search r.

$$egin{array}{l} r \leftarrow b - Ax, \ lpha \leftarrow (r,r)/(Ar,r) \ x \leftarrow x + lpha r \end{array}$$



*Question:* can we do better by combining successive iterates?

> Yes: Krylov subspace methods..

## Krylov subspace methods: Introduction

Consider MR (or steepest descent). At each iteration:

$$egin{aligned} r_{k+1} &= b - A(x^{(k)} + lpha_k r_k) \ &= r_k - lpha_k A r_k \ &= (I - lpha_k A) r_k \end{aligned}$$

► In the end:  $r_{k+1} = (I - \alpha_k A)(I - \alpha_{k-1}A) \cdots (I - \alpha_0 A)r_0 = p_{k+1}(A)r_0$ where  $p_{k+1}(t)$  is a polynomial of degree k + 1 of the form

$$p_{k+1}(t) = 1 - tq_k(t)$$

And Show that:  $x^{(k+1)} = x^{(0)} + q_k(A)r_0$  , with deg  $(q_k) = k$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  Krylov subspace methods: iterations of this form that are 'optimal' [from *m*-dimensional projection methods]

## Krylov subspace methods

**Principle:** Projection methods on Krylov subspaces:

$$K_m(A,v_1)= ext{span}\{v_1,Av_1,\cdots,A^{m-1}v_1\}$$

- The most important class of iterative methods.
- Many variants exist depending on the subspace L.

Simple properties of  $K_m$  [ $\mu \equiv \deg$ . of minimal polynomial of  $v_1$ .]

- $K_m = \{p(A)v_1 | p = ext{polynomial of degree} \leq m-1\}$
- $K_m = K_\mu$  for all  $m \ge \mu$ . Moreover,  $K_\mu$  is invariant under A.
- $dim(K_m) = m$  iff  $\mu \geq m$ .

## A little review: Gram-Schmidt process

*Goal:* given  $X = [x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  compute an orthonormal set  $Q = [q_1, \ldots, q_m]$  which spans the same susbpace.

### ALGORITHM : 1 Classical Gram-Schmidt

1. For 
$$j = 1, ..., m$$
 Do:  
2. Compute  $r_{ij} = (x_j, q_i)$  for  $i = 1, ..., j - 1$   
3. Compute  $\hat{q}_j = x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} r_{ij}q_i$   
4.  $r_{jj} = \|\hat{q}_j\|_2$  If  $r_{jj} == 0$  exit  
5.  $q_j = \hat{q}_j/r_{jj}$   
6. EndDo

1.	For $j=1,,m$ Do:
2.	$\hat{q}_j := x_j$
З.	For $i=1,\ldots,j-1$ Do
4.	$r_{ij}=(\hat{q}_j,q_i)$
5.	$\hat{q}_j := \hat{q}_j - r_{ij} q_i$
6.	EndDo
7.	$r_{jj} = \  \hat{q}_j \ _2$ . If $r_{jj} == 0$ exit
8.	$q_j := \hat{q}_j / r_{jj}$
9.	EndDo

Let:

- $X = [x_1, \ldots, x_m]$  ( $n \times m$  matrix)
- $Q = [q_1, \ldots, q_m]$  (n imes m matrix)
- $R = \{r_{ij}\}$  ( $m \times m$  upper triangular matrix)
- At each step,

$$x_j = \sum_{i=1}^j r_{ij} q_i$$

Result:

$$X = QR$$

## Arnoldi's algorithm

- > Goal: to compute an orthogonal basis of  $K_m$ .
- > Input: Initial vector  $v_1$ , with  $||v_1||_2 = 1$  and m.

## ALGORITHM : 3 Arnoldi

- $_{\scriptscriptstyle T^{\scriptscriptstyle L}}$  for j=1,...,m do
- 2: Compute  $w := Av_j$
- $_{\scriptscriptstyle 3:}$  for  $i=1,\ldots,j$  do
- 4:  $h_{i,j}:=(w,v_i)$
- 5:  $w:=w-h_{i,j}v_i$

#### 6: end for


 $_{7^{\prime}}$  Compute:  $h_{j+1,j} = \|w\|_2$  and  $v_{j+1} = w/h_{j+1,j}$ 

8: end for

## Result of orthogonalization process (Arnoldi):

- 1.  $V_m = [v_1, v_2, ..., v_m]$  orthonormal basis of  $K_m$ .
- 2.  $AV_m = V_{m+1}\overline{H}_m$

3.  $V_m^T A V_m = H_m \equiv \overline{H}_m - \text{last row.}$ 



Arnoldi's Method for linear systems  $(L_m = K_m)$ 

From Petrov-Galerkin condition when  $L_m = K_m$ , we get

$$egin{aligned} x_m = x_0 + V_m H_m^{-1} V_m^T r_0 \end{aligned}$$

Select 
$$v_1 = r_0/\|r_0\|_2 \equiv r_0/eta$$
 in Arnoldi's. Then

$$x_m = x_0 + eta V_m H_m^{-1} e_1$$

2 What is the residual vector  $r_m = b - Ax_m$ ?

Several algorithms mathematically equivalent to this approach:

\* FOM [Y. Saad, 1981] (above formulation), Young and Jea's ORTHORES [1982], Axelsson's projection method [1981],...

\* Also Conjugate Gradient method [see later]

## Minimal residual methods $(L_m = AK_m)$

When  $L_m = AK_m$ , we let  $W_m \equiv AV_m$  and obtain relation

$$egin{aligned} x_m \,&=\, x_0 + V_m [W_m^T A V_m]^{-1} W_m^T r_0 \ &=\, x_0 + V_m [(A V_m)^T A V_m]^{-1} (A V_m)^T r_0. \end{aligned}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Use again  $v_1:=r_0/(eta:=\|r_0\|_2)$  and the relation

$$AV_m = V_{m+1}\overline{H}_m$$

 $\blacktriangleright \ x_m = x_0 + V_m [\bar{H}_m^T \bar{H}_m]^{-1} \bar{H}_m^T \beta e_1 = x_0 + V_m y_m$ where  $y_m$  minimizes  $\|\beta e_1 - \bar{H}_m y\|_2$  over  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .

Gives the Generalized Minimal Residual method (GMRES) ([YS-Schultz,'86]):

$$egin{aligned} x_m &= x_0 + V_m y_m & ext{where} \ y_m &= \min_y \|eta e_1 - ar{H}_m y\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

Several Mathematically equivalent methods:

- Axelsson's CGLS Orthomin (1980)
- Orthodir GCR

# A few implementation details: GMRES

*Issue 1*: How to solve the least-squares problem ?


*Issue 2:* How to compute residual norm (without computing solution at each step)?

- Several solutions to both issues. Simplest: use Givens rotations.
- Recall: We want to solve least-squares problem

$$\min_y \|eta e_1 - \overline{H}_m y\|_2$$

Transform the problem into upper triangular one.

> Rotation matrices of dimension m + 1. Define (with  $s_i^2 + c_i^2 = 1$ ):



► Multiply  $\bar{H}_m$  and right-hand side  $\bar{g}_0 \equiv \beta e_1$  by a sequence of such matrices from the left. ►  $s_i, c_i$  selected to eliminate  $h_{i+1,i}$ 

1-st Rotation:

 $s_1 = rac{h_{21}}{\sqrt{h_{11}^2 + h_{21}^2}}, \ c_1 = rac{h_{11}}{\sqrt{h_{11}^2 + h_{21}^2}}$ 

$$\begin{split} \bar{H}_{m}^{(1)} &= \begin{bmatrix} h_{11}^{(1)} & h_{12}^{(1)} & h_{13}^{(1)} & h_{14}^{(1)} & h_{15}^{(1)} \\ h_{22}^{(1)} & h_{23}^{(1)} & h_{24}^{(1)} & h_{25}^{(1)} \\ h_{32} & h_{33} & h_{34} & h_{35} \\ & h_{43} & h_{44} & h_{45} \\ & & & h_{54} & h_{55} \\ & & & & h_{65} \end{bmatrix}, \ \bar{g}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{1}\beta \\ -s_{1}\beta \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{Repeat} \\ \text{with } \Omega_{2}, \\ \dots, \\ \Omega_{5}. \text{ Result:} \end{bmatrix} \quad \bar{H}_{5}^{(5)} = \begin{bmatrix} h_{15}^{(5)} & h_{12}^{(5)} & h_{13}^{(5)} & h_{14}^{(5)} & h_{15}^{(5)} \\ h_{22}^{(5)} & h_{23}^{(5)} & h_{24}^{(5)} & h_{25}^{(5)} \\ & h_{33}^{(5)} & h_{34}^{(5)} & h_{25}^{(5)} \\ & & h_{33}^{(5)} & h_{34}^{(5)} & h_{25}^{(5)} \\ & & & h_{33}^{(5)} & h_{34}^{(5)} & h_{35}^{(5)} \\ & & & & h_{44}^{(5)} & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & h_{44}^{(5)} & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & h_{44}^{(5)} & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ & & & & & & & & & & h_{55}^{(5)} \\ \end{array} \right], \ \bar{g}_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1} \\ \gamma_{2} \\ \gamma_{3} \\ \gamma_{4} \\ \gamma_{6} \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Define

$$egin{aligned} m{Q}_m &= \ \Omega_m \Omega_{m-1} \dots \Omega_1 \ ar{m{R}}_m &= \ ar{m{H}}_m^{(m)} = m{Q}_m ar{m{H}}_m, \ ar{m{g}}_m &= \ m{Q}_m (eta e_1) = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{m+1})^T. \end{aligned}$$

> Since  $Q_m$  is unitary,

$$\min \|eta e_1 - ar{H}_m y\|_2 = \min \|ar{g}_m - ar{R}_m y\|_2.$$

Delete last row and solve resulting triangular system.

$$R_m y_m = g_m$$

#### **Proposition:**

- 1. The rank of  $AV_m$  is equal to the rank of  $R_m$ . In particular, if  $r_{mm} = 0$  then A must be singular.
- 2. The vector  $y_m$  that minimizes  $\|eta e_1 ar{H}_m y\|_2$  is given by

$$y_m = R_m^{-1}g_m.$$

3. The residual vector at step m satisfies

$$egin{aligned} b - A x_m \ &= \ V_{m+1} \left[eta e_1 - ar{H}_m y_m
ight] \ &= \ V_{m+1} Q_m^T (\gamma_{m+1} e_{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

4. As a result,  $\|b - Ax_m\|_2 = |\gamma_{m+1}|$ .