# DISCRETIZATION OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Goal: to show how partial differential lead to sparse linear systems

- See Chap. 2 of text
- Finite difference methods
- Finite elements
- Assembled and unassembled finite element matrices

# Why study discretized PDEs?

- One of the most important sources of sparse linear systems
- Will help understand the structures of the problem and their connections with "meshes" in 2-D or 3-D space
- Also: iterative methods are often formulated for the PDE directly instead of a discretized (sparse) system.

NOTE: Useful to have an idea of how Finite Difference matrices are generated. For Finite Elements: goal is to unravel the related sparse computations to which they lead. Physical Problem  $\rightarrow$ 

Nonlinear PDEs  $\rightarrow$ 

Discretization  $\rightarrow$ 

Linearization (Newton)  $\rightarrow$ 

Sequence of Sparse Linear Systems Ax = b

# **Example:** discretized Poisson equation

Common Partial Differential Equation (PDE) :

$$rac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + rac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} = f, ext{ for } x = inom{x_1}{x_2} ext{ in } \Omega$$
  
where  $\Omega$  = bounded, open domain  $ext{in} \mathbb{R}^2$ 



+ boundary conditions:

Dirichlet: $u(x) = \phi(x)$ Neumann: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}}(x) = 0$ Cauchy: $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \vec{n}} + \alpha(x)u = \gamma$ 

►  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}$  is the Laplace operator or Laplacean

- How to approximate the Poisson problem shown above?
- Answer: discretize, i.e., replace continuum with discrete set.
- Then approximate Laplacean using this discretization
- Many types of discretizations.. wll briefly cover Finite Differences (FD) and Finite Elements (FEM)

**Finite Differences: Basic approximations** 

Formulas derived from Taylor series expansion:

$$u(x+h) = u(x) + hrac{du}{dx} + rac{h^2}{2}rac{d^2u}{dx^2} + rac{h^3}{6}rac{d^3u}{dx^3} + rac{h^4}{24}\,rac{d^4u}{dx^4}(\xi)$$

## **Discretization of PDEs - Basic approximations**

#### Simplest scheme: forward difference

$$egin{array}{ll} \displaystylerac{du}{dx} &= \displaystylerac{u(x+h)-u(x)}{h} - \displaystylerac{h}{2} \displaystylerac{d^2 u(x)}{dx^2} + O(h^2) \ &pprox \displaystylerac{u(x+h)-u(x)}{h} \end{array} 
onumber \label{eq:array}$$

Centered differences for second derivative:

$$rac{d^2 u(x)}{dx^2} \,=\, rac{u(x+h)-2u(x)+u(x-h)}{h^2} - rac{h^2}{12} rac{d^4 u(\xi)}{dx^4},$$

where  $\xi_{-} \leq \xi \leq \xi_{+}$ .



Notation:
$$\delta^+ u(x) = u(x+h) - u(x)$$
  
 $\delta^- u(x) = u(x) - u(x-h)$ Operations  
of the type: $\frac{d}{dx} \left[ a(x) \frac{d}{dx} \right]$ are common [in-homogeneous  
media].

> The following is a second order approximation:

$$egin{array}{ll} \displaystyle rac{d}{dx} \left[ a(x) \, rac{du}{dx} 
ight] \ &= rac{1}{h^2} \delta^+ \left( a_{i-rac{1}{2}} \, \delta^- u 
ight) + O(h^2) \ &pprox rac{a_{i+rac{1}{2}}(u_{i+1}-u_i) - a_{i-rac{1}{2}}(u_i-u_{i-1})}{h^2} \end{array}$$

**M**1 Show that 
$$\delta^+\left(a_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \ \delta^- u\right) = \delta^-\left(a_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \ \delta^+ u\right)$$

### Consider the simple problem,

$$-\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2}\right) = f \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1}$$
$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \tag{2}$$

 $\Omega$  = rectangle  $(0, l_1) \times (0, l_2)$  and  $\Gamma$  its boundary.

Discretize uniformly :

$$egin{array}{ll} x_{1,i}\,=\,i imes h_1 & i=0,\ldots,n_1+1 & h_1=rac{l_1}{n_1+1} \ x_{2,j}\,=\,j imes h_2 & j=0,\ldots,n_2+1 & h_2=rac{l_2}{n_2+1} \end{array}$$

# Finite Difference Scheme for the Laplacean

▶ Use centered differences for  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}$  - with mesh sizes  $h_1 = h_2 = h$  :

$$egin{aligned} \Delta u(x) &pprox rac{1}{h^2} [u(x_1+h,x_2)+u(x_1-h,x_2)+ & \ & +u(x_1,x_2+h)+u(x_1,x_2-h)-4u(x_1,x_2)] \end{aligned}$$







The resulting matrix has the following block structure:

$$A=rac{1}{h^2}egin{bmatrix}B&-I\-I&B&-I\&-I&B\end{bmatrix}$$

 $\rightarrow$ 

Case:  $7 \times 5$  grid

With

$$B = egin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & & \ -1 & 4 & -1 & & \ & -1 & 4 & -1 & \ & & -1 & 4 & -1 \ & & & -1 & 4 & -1 \ & & & -1 & 4 & -1 \ & & & -1 & 4 & -1 \ & & & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$



# Finite Element Method (FEM): a quick overview

## *Background:* Green's formula

$$\int_\Omega 
abla v. 
abla u \,\,\, dx = -\int_\Omega v \Delta u \,\,\, dx + \int_\Gamma v rac{\partial u}{\partial ec n} \,\, ds.$$



>  $\nabla$  = gradient operator. In 2-D:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial u} \end{pmatrix}$$

n

 $abla u = \left(egin{array}{c} \partial x_1 \ rac{\partial u}{2} \end{array}
ight),$ 

**X**<sub>2</sub>

Ω

- $\blacktriangleright \Delta u$  = Laplacean of u
- >  $\vec{n}$  is the unit vector that is normal to  $\Gamma$  and directed outwards.

 $rac{\partial u}{\partial ec v}(x) = \lim_{h o 0} rac{u(x+hec v)-u(x)}{h}$ 

Green's formula generalizes the usual formula for integration by parts

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ Define } \qquad \begin{array}{l} a(u,v) \, \equiv \, \int_{\Omega} \nabla u . \nabla v \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2} \right) dx \\ (f,v) \, \equiv \, \int_{\Omega} fv \, dx. \end{array}$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  With Dirichlet BC, the integral on the boundary in Green's formula vanishes  $\rightarrow$ 

$$a(u,v)=-(\Delta u,v).$$

Chap 2 – discr

Frechet derivative:

Suppose we want to solve  $-\Delta u = f$  in  $\Omega$  + Dirichlet BC

► Weak formulation of the original problem: select a subspace of reference V of  $L^2$  and then solve

Find 
$$u \in V$$
 such that  $\underbrace{a(u,v)}_{=-(\Delta u,v)} = (f,v), \quad \forall \ v \in V$ 

Finite Element method solves this weak problem...

by discretization

> The original domain is approximated by the union  $\Omega_h$  of m triangles  $K_i$ , Triangulation of  $\Omega$ :



$$\Omega_h = igcup_{i=1}^m K_i.$$

Some restrictions on angles, edges, etc..

$$V_h = \{ \phi \mid \phi_{\mid \Omega_h} \in \mathcal{C}^0, \ \phi_{\mid \Gamma_h} = 0, \ \phi_{\mid K_j} ext{ linear } orall \ j \}$$

- $\blacktriangleright \phi_{|X} ==$  restriction of  $\phi$  to the subset X
- Let  $x_j, j = 1, ..., n$ , be the nodes of the triangulation

> Can define a (unique) 'hat' function  $\phi_j$  in  $V_h$  associated with each  $x_j$  s.t.:

$$\phi_j(x_i) = \delta_{ij} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } x_i = x_j \ 0 & ext{if } x_i 
eq x_j \end{cases}.$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Each function *u* of  $V_h$  can be expressed as

$$u(x)=\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \phi_j(x).$$
 (\*)

#### **FEM** approximation $\equiv$ Galerkin condition for functions in $V_h$ :

Find 
$$u \in V_h$$
 such that  $a(u, v) = (f, v), \forall v \in V_h$ 

Express u in the basis  $\{\phi_j\}$  (see \*), then substitute above. Result:

► Linear system 
$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \xi_j = \beta_i$$
 where:  $\alpha_{ij} = a(\phi_j, \phi_i), \quad \beta_i = (f, \phi_i).$ 

The above equations form a linear system of equations

$$Ax = b$$

> A is Symmetric Positive Definite



# The Assembly Process: Illustration



If triangle  $K \notin$  support domains of both  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$  then  $a_K(\phi_i, \phi_j) = 0$ 



If triangle  $K \in \text{*both* nonzero}$ domains of  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$  then  $a_K(\phi_i, \phi_j) \neq 0$ 

► So:  $a_K(\phi_i, \phi_j) \neq 0$  iff  $i \in \{k, l, m\}$  and  $j \in \{k, l, m\}$ .

# The Assembly Process



Small finite element mesh and pattern of the corresponding assembled matrix



Element matrices  $A^{[e]}$ , e = 1, ..., 4 for FEM mesh shown above

> Each element contributes a  $3 \times 3$  submatrix  $A^{[e]}$  (spread out)

➤ Can also use the matrix in un-assembled form - To multiply a vector by A for example we can do:

$$y = Ax = \sum_{e=1}^{nel} A^{[e]}x \; = \; \sum_{e=1}^{nel} P_e A_{K_e}(P_e^Tx)$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Can be computed using the element matrices  $A_{K_e}$  - no need to assemble

The product  $P_e^T x$  gathers x data associated with the e-element into a 3-vector consistent with the ordering of the matrix  $A_{K_e}$ .

- Advantage: some simplification in process
- Disadvantage: cost (memory + computations).

## **Resources:** A few matlab scripts

- These (and others) will be posted in the matlab folder of class web-site
- >> help fd3d
  - function A = fd3d(nx,ny,nz,alpx,alpy,alpz,dshift)
  - NOTE nx and ny must be > 1 -- nz can be == 1.
  - 5- or 7-point block-Diffusion/conv. matrix. with
- A stripped-down version is lap2D(nx, ny)
- >> help mark
  - [A] = mark(m)
  - generates a Markov chain matrix for a random walk
  - on a triangular grid. A is sparse of size n=m\*(m+1)/2

Explore A few useful matlab functions

\* kron

- \* gplot for ploting graphs
- \* reshape for going from say 1-D to 2-D or 3-D arrays

Write a script to generate a 9-point discretization of the Laplacean.

