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## MAC: basic idea

Message authentication code: similar to hash function, but with a key
Adversary without key cannot forge MACs
Strong definition: adversary cannot forge anything, even given chosen-message MACs on other messages

## HMAC construction

0 $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{K} \| \mathrm{M})$ : insecure due to length extension - Still not recommended: $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{M} \| \mathrm{K}), \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{K}\|\mathrm{M}\| \mathrm{K})$
© $\mathrm{HMAC}: \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{K} \oplus \mathrm{a} \| \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{K} \oplus \mathrm{b} \| \mathrm{M}))$

- Standard $a=0 \times 5 c^{*}, b=0 \times 36^{*}$
- Probably the most widely used MAC


## Session keys

Don't use your long term password, etc., directly as a key
0 Instead, session key used for just one channel
0 In modern practice, usually obtained with public-key crypto
Separate keys for encryption and MACing
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## CBC-MAC construction

Same process as CBC encryption, but: - Start with IV of 0 - Return only the last ciphertext block

Both these conditions needed for security
0. For fixed-length messages (only), as secure as the block cipher
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## Order of operations

Encrypt and MAC ("in parallel")

- Safe only under extra assumptions on the MAC
- Encrypt then MAC
- Has cleanest formal safety proof
- MAC then Encrypt
- Preferred by FS\&K for some practical reasons
- Can also be secure


## Authenticated encryption modes

- Encrypting and MACing as separate steps is about twice as expensive as just encrypting
0 "Authenticated encryption" modes do both at once - Newer (circa 2000) innovation, many variants
© NIST-standardized and unpatented: Galois Counter Mode (GCM)


## Ordering and message numbers

Also don't want attacker to be able to replay or reorder messages
Simple approach: prefix each message with counter
Discard duplicate/out-of-order messages

## Padding oracle attack

Have to be careful that decoding of padding does not leak information

- E.g., spend same amount of time MACing and checking padding whether or not padding is right
© Remote timing attack against CBC TLS published 2013

Don't actually reinvent the wheel

This is all implemented carefully in OpenSSL, SSH, etc.
O Good to understand it, but rarely sensible to reimplement it
You'll probably miss at least one of decades' worth of attacks
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## Pre-history of public-key crypto

- First invented in secret at GCHQ

Proposed by Ralph Merkle for UC Berkeley grad.
security class project

- First attempt only barely practical
- Professor didn't like it
- Merkle then found more sympathetic Stanford collaborators named Diffie and Hellman


## Box and locks analogy

- Alice wants to send Bob a gift in a locked box
- They don't share a key
- Can't send key separately, don't trust UPS
- Box locked by Alice can't be opened by Bob, or vice-versa
- Math perspective: physical locks commute


Protocol with clip art


## Box and locks analogy

- Alice wants to send Bob a gift in a locked box - They don't share a key
- Can't send key separately, don't trust UPS
- Box locked by Alice can't be opened by Bob, or vice-versa



## Public key primitives

Public-key encryption (generalizes block cipher)

- Separate encryption key EK (public) and decryption key DK (secret)
Signature scheme (generalizes MAC)
- Separate signing key SK (secret) and verification key VK (public)


## Modular arithmetic

Fix modulus $n$, keep only remainders $\bmod n$ e mod 12: clock face; $\bmod 2^{32}$ : unsigned int
$0+,-$, and $\times$ work mostly the same
Division? Multiplicative inverse by extended GCD

- Exponentiation: efficient by square and multiply


## Diffie-Hellman key exchange

Goal: anonymous key exchange
Public parameters p, g; Alice and Bob have resp. secrets $a, b$
$\square$ Alice $\rightarrow$ Bob: $A=g^{a} \quad(\bmod p)$
© $\mathrm{Bob} \rightarrow$ Alice: $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{b}} \quad(\bmod \mathrm{p})$

- Alice computes $B^{a}=g^{b a}=k$

Bob computes $A^{b}=g^{a b}=k$

## Categorizing assumptions

0 Math assumptions unavoidable, but can categorize
E.g., build more complex scheme, shows it's "as secure" as DH because it has the same underlying assumption
© Commonly "decisional" (DDH) and "computational" (CDH) variants

## Generators and discrete log

- Modulo a prime $p$, non-zero values and $\times$ have a nice ("group") structure
0 g is a generator if $\mathrm{g}^{0}, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}^{2}, \mathrm{~g}^{3}, \ldots$ cover all elements
Easy to compute $x \mapsto g^{x}$
0 Inverse, discrete logarithm, hard for large $p$


## Relationship to a hard problem

We're not sure discrete log is hard (likely not even NP-complete), but it's been unsolved for a long time
0 If discrete log is easy (e.g., in P), DH is insecure

- Converse might not be true: DH might have other problems


## Key size, elliptic curves

© Need key sizes ~10 times larger then security level - Attacks shown up to about 768 bits

- Elliptic curves: objects from higher math with analogous group structure
- (Only tenuously connected to ellipses)
- Elliptic curve algorithms have smaller keys, about $2 \times$ security level
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## General description

0 Public-key encryption (generalizes block cipher)

- Separate encryption key EK (public) and decryption key DK (secret)
0 Signature scheme (generalizes MAC)
- Separate signing key SK (secret) and verification key VK (public)


## RSA setup

Choose $n=p q$, product of two large primes, as modulus
O $n$ is public, but $p$ and $q$ are secret

- Compute encryption and decryption exponents $e$ and $d$ such that

$$
M^{e d}=M \quad(\bmod n)
$$

## RSA signature

Signing key is ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{d}$ )
Signature of $M$ is $S=M^{d} \quad(\bmod n)$
0 Verification key is ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{e}$ )
Check signature by $S^{e}=M^{\mathrm{de}}=M \quad(\bmod n)$
Note: symmetry is a nice feature of RSA, not shared by other systems

## Homomorphism

Multiply RSA ciphertexts $\Rightarrow$ multiply plaintexts
0 This homomorphism is useful for some interesting applications

- Even more powerful: fully homomorphic encryption (e.g., both + and $\times$ )
- First demonstrated in 2009; still challenging


## Hybrid encryption

Public-key operations are slow
0 In practice, use them just to set up symmetric session keys

+ Only pay RSA costs at setup time
- Breaks at either level are fatal
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## RSA encryption

Public key is ( $n, e$ )
Encryption of $M$ is $C=M^{e}(\bmod n)$
Private key is $(n, d)$
Decryption of $C$ is $C^{d}=M^{e d}=M \quad(\bmod n)$

## RSA and factoring

We're not sure factoring is hard (likely not even NP-complete), but it's been unsolved for a long time
0 If factoring is easy (e.g., in P), RSA is insecure
© Converse might not be true: RSA might have other problems

## Problems with vanilla RSA

Homomorphism leads to chosen-ciphertext attacks
0. If message and $e$ are both small compared to $n$, can compute $M^{1 / e}$ over the integers
© Many more complex attacks too

## Padding, try \#1

0 Need to expand message (e.g., AES key) size to match modulus
0 PKCS\#1 v. 1.5 scheme: prepend 0001 FF FF .. FF
© Surprising discovery (Bleichenbacher'98): allows adaptive chosen ciphertext attacks on SSL

- Variants recurred later (c.f. "ROBOT" 2018)


## Modern "padding"

Much more complicated encoding schemes using hashing, random salts, Feistel-like structures, etc.
Common examples: OAEP for encryption, PSS for signing
© Progress driven largely by improvement in random oracle proofs

## Simpler padding alternative

"Key encapsulation mechanism" (KEM)

- For common case of public-key crypto used for symmetric-key setup
- Also applies to DH
© Choose RSA message $r$ at random mod $n$, symmetric key is $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{r})$
- Hard to retrofit, RSA-KEM insecure if $e$ and $r$ reused with different $n$


## Box and locks revisited

[. Alice and Bob's box scheme fails if an intermediary can set up two sets of boxes

- Middleperson (man-in-the-middle) attack
© Real world analogue: challenges of protocol design and public key distribution

